

Modification Report Consultation:

SECMP0022 - Expanding SMKI PMA membership and removing Alternate restrictions

**This consultation closes at 5pm on 7th November 2016**

**Responding to this consultation**

We invite you to respond to this Modification Report consultation and would welcome your views on the benefits and drawbacks of this Modification Proposal.

We realise it can often be difficult to respond to all consultations issued across the market, but we would appreciate it if you can provide as much information as possible in your response. This helps us better understand your views and helps the SEC Change Board make an informed decision.

If you have any questions or wish to respond verbally then please don’t hesitate to contact us via email at secas@gemserv.com or contact **Samuel Browne** on 020 7090 7755.

To help us process your response, please email your completed response form to the SECAS inbox (secas@gemserv.com) marking your response as SECMP0022 Modification Report Consultation Response.

|  |
| --- |
| Your Details |
|  |
| Name |  |
| Contact telephone number |  |
| Contact email |  |
| Organisation |  |
| SEC Parties represented | *[where there is more than one party associated with a voting group]* |
| SEC Party Role | *[Large Supplier, Small Supplier, Network, Registered Supplier Agent, Other SEC Party]* |
| Is any part of your response confidential? | Yes/No (If ‘yes’ please indicate which sections) |



|  |
| --- |
| SECMP0022 Report Phase Consultation Questions |
| For the proposed solution detailed in the Modification Report, please indicate whether or not you believe it should be approved or rejected, making reference to the SEC Objectives.If you do not wish to register an opinion on the outcome of this Modification, then please indicate ‘no interest’. If you are abstaining for reasons other than no impact on your organisation, then please indicate ‘abstain’ in the form below.  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Approve |  | Reject |  | Abstain |  | No Interest |  |
| Please provide any supporting rationale making reference to the relevant SEC Objectives. [For reference the SEC Objectives are set out below]. |

**SEC Objectives**

|  |
| --- |
| **SEC Objectives** |
| **General SEC Objectives (Section C1.1)** |
|  (a) the first General SEC Objective is to facilitate the efficient provision, installation, and operation, as well as interoperability, of Smart Metering Systems at Energy Consumers’ premises within Great Britain; |
| (b) the second General SEC Objective is to enable the DCC to comply at all times with the General Objectives of the DCC (as defined in the DCC Licence), and to efficiently discharge the other obligations imposed upon it by the DCC Licence; |
| (c) the third General SEC Objective is to facilitate Energy Consumers’ management of their use of electricity and gas through the provision to them of appropriate information by means of Smart Metering Systems; |
| (d) the fourth General SEC Objective is to facilitate effective competition between persons engaged in, or in Commercial Activities connected with, the Supply of Energy; |
| (e) the fifth General SEC Objective is to facilitate such innovation in the design and operation of Energy Networks (as defined in the DCC Licence) as will best contribute to the delivery of a secure and sustainable Supply of Energy; |
| (f) the sixth General SEC Objective is to ensure the protection of Data and the security of Data and Systems in the operation of this Code;  |
| (g) the seventh General SEC Objective is to facilitate the efficient and transparent administration and implementation of this Code.  |
| **Transition Objective (Section X1.2)** |
| X1.2 The objective to be achieved pursuant to Section X: Transition is the efficient, economical, co-ordinated, timely, and secure process of transition to the Completion of Implementation. |
| **Charging Objectives (Section C1.3)** (in respect of the Charging Methodology) |
| C1.4 The First Relevant Policy Objective:applies in relation to Smart Metering Systems installed (or to be installed) at Domestic Premises; andrequires the Charging Methodology to ensure that Charges (other than Charges for Elective Communication Services) in respect of such Smart Metering Systems do not distinguish (whether directly or indirectly) between Energy Consumers at Domestic Premises in different parts of Great Britain.  |
| C1.5 The Second Relevant Policy Objective is that, subject to compliance with the First Relevant Policy Objective, the Charging Methodology must result in Charges that: facilitate effective competition in the Supply of Energy (or its use) under the Electricity Act and the Gas Act; do not restrict, distort, or prevent competition in Commercial Activities that are connected with the Supply of Energy under the Electricity Act and the Gas Act; do not deter the full and timely installation by Energy Suppliers of Smart Metering Systems at Energy Consumers’ premises in accordance with their obligations under the Energy Supply Licence; and do not unduly discriminate in their application and are reflective of the costs incurred by the DCC, as far as is reasonably practicable in all of the circumstances of the case, having regard to the costs of implementing the Charging Methodology. |
| C1.6 The Third Relevant Policy Objective is that, subject to the Compliance with the First and Second Relevant Policy Objectives, the Charging Methodology must result in Charges that:facilitate effective competition in the Supply of Energy (or its use) under the Electricity Act and the Gas Act;do not restrict, distort, or prevent competition in Commercial Activities that are connected with the Supply of Energy under the Electricity Act and the Gas Act;do not deter the full and timely installation by Energy Suppliers of Smart Metering Systems at Energy Consumers’ premises in accordance with their obligations under the Energy Supply Licence; anddo not unduly discriminate in their application and are reflective of the costs incurred by the DCC, as far as is reasonably practicable in all of the circumstances of the case, having regard to the costs of implementing the Charging Methodology. |