

This document is classified as **White** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Information can be shared with the public, and any members may publish the information, subject to copyright.

# MP168 ‘CPL Security Improvements’ Conclusions Report – version 1.0

## About this document

---

This document summarises the responses received to the Modification Report Consultation regarding approval or rejection of this modification.

## Summary of conclusions

---

### Change Board

The Change Board voted to **approve** MP222. It believed the modification better facilitates Smart Energy Code (SEC) Objective (f)<sup>1</sup>.

### Modification Report Consultation

SECAS received four responses to the Modification Report Consultation. All believed that the modification should be approved. They considered the modification better facilitated SEC Objective (f).

## Modification Report Consultation responses

---

### Summary of responses

The Smart Energy Code Administrator and Secretariat (SECAS) received four responses to the consultation (three Large Suppliers and one Other SEC Party). Three respondents stated that the modification will better facilitate SEC Objective (f). One respondent, an Other SEC Party, stated that the modification will help to mitigate the risk of malicious code entering the smart metering system.

---

<sup>1</sup> Ensure the protection of data and the security of data and systems in the operation of the SEC.

## Change Board vote

### Change Board vote

The Change Board voted to **approve** MP168 under Self-Governance.

The vote breakdown is summarised below:

Change Board vote				
Party Category	Approve	Reject	Abstain	Outcome
Large Suppliers	5	0	0	<b>Approve</b>
Small Suppliers	2	0	0	<b>Approve</b>
Network Parties	2	0	0	<b>Approve</b>
Other SEC Parties	2	0	0	<b>Approve</b>
Consumer Representative	0	0	0	-
<b>Overall outcome:</b>				<b>APPROVE</b>

The Consumer Representative was not present at the meeting.

### Views against the General SEC Objectives

#### Objective (f)

The Change Board believes that this modification better facilitates SEC Objective (f), as the solution will close a potential security risk whereby Devices receiving improperly authorised or, in the worst case, malicious firmware could be added to the CPL.

### Change Board discussions

SECAS informed the Change Board of the Modification Report Consultation responses, and the implementation approach which will be one month following the end of the ten Working Day referral window. The Change Board noted this and provided no comments.