

This document is classified as **White** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Information can be shared with the public, and any members may publish the information, subject to copyright.

# MP168 ‘CPL Security Improvements’

## Annex D

## Refinement Consultation responses

### About this document

---

This document contains the full collated responses received to the MP168 Refinement Consultation.

## Question 1: Do you agree that the solution put forward will effectively resolve the identified issue?

Question 1			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale
<b>E.ON</b>	Large Supplier	Yes	For reasons given in Modification Report
<b>OVO Energy</b>	Large Supplier	Yes	Although this solution offers no major benefit to SEC parties like OVO, we can see the benefits for device manufacturers.

## Question 2: Do you agree that the legal text will deliver MP168?

Question 2			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale
E.ON	Large Supplier	Yes	-
OVO Energy	Large Supplier	Yes	-

### Question 3: Do you agree with the proposed implementation approach?

Question 3			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale
E.ON	Large Supplier	Yes	-
OVO Energy	Large Supplier	Yes	-

## Question 4: Will there be any impact on your organisation to implement MP168?

Question 4			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale
E.ON	Large Supplier	No	Subject to resource within relevant team
OVO Energy	Large Supplier	No	-

## Question 5: Will your organisation incur any costs in implementing MP168?

Question 5			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale
E.ON	Large Supplier	No costs	Subject to authorised IKI roles being available within relevant team
OVO Energy	Large Supplier	No costs	

## Question 6: How long from the point of approval would your organisation need to implement MP168?

Question 6			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale
<b>E.ON</b>	Large Supplier	Not long to implement from point of approval	Subject to authorised IKI roles being available within relevant team
<b>OVO Energy</b>	Large Supplier	Immediately	We do not anticipate any changes

## Question 7: Do you believe that MP168 would better facilitate the General SEC Objectives?

Question 7			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale
E.ON	Large Supplier	Yes	Modification will better facilitate General SEC Objective (f)
OVO Energy	Large Supplier	-	-

## Question 8: Do you believe there will be any impacts on or benefits to consumers if MP168 is implemented?

Question 8			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale
E.ON	Large Supplier	No	-
OVO Energy	Large Supplier	No	-

## Question 9: Noting the costs and benefits of this modification, do you believe MP168 should be approved?

Question 9				
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale	SECAS Response
E.ON	Large Supplier	Yes	For reasons given in Modification Report	
OVO Energy	Large Supplier	Yes	<p>Please note that the costs are not outlined in the mod report, but in the working group notes. We would expect any costs to be covered by the mod report.</p> <p>We do not have any objections to this modification.</p>	SECAS acknowledges that the Modification Report on the website was not the latest version and that has now been corrected. The correct Modification Report was circulated with the Refinement Consultation documents however so we do not believe the Consultation needs to be repeated.

## Question 10: Please provide any further comments you may have.

Question 10		
Respondent	Category	Comments
E.ON	Large Supplier	None
OVO Energy	Large Supplier	-