

This document is classified as **White** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Information can be shared with the public, and any members may publish the information, subject to copyright.

# MP222 'CPL submission efficiency improvements'

#### **Annex C**

### **Refinement Consultation responses**

#### **About this document**

This document contains the full collated responses received to the MP222 Refinement Consultation.





## Question 1: Do you agree that the solution put forward will effectively resolve the identified issue?

	Question 1			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale	
National Grid Electricity Distribution	Network Party	Yes	No comment.	
EDF Energy	Large Supplier	Yes	We agree that the solution put forward will speed up addition of new firmware and hardware changes to the CPL.	





#### Question 2: Do you agree that the legal text will deliver MP222?

	Question 2			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale	
National Grid Electricity Distribution	Network Party	Yes	No comment.	
EDF Energy	Large Supplier	Yes	The legal text is in line with the proposal. It adds the Manufacturer as a party capable of partaking in the process without removing the option for the Supplier to do so. However, it also removes the need for a Manufacturer to obtain the support of a Supplier to do so.	





#### Question 3: Do you agree with the proposed implementation approach?

	Question 3			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale	
National Grid Electricity Distribution	Network Party	Yes	No comment.	
EDF Energy	Large Supplier	Yes	The proposed implementation approach is only a minor procedural change, so very little impact to us overall.	





#### Question 4: Will there be any impact on your organisation to implement MP222?

	Question 4			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale	
National Grid Electricity Distribution	Network Party	No	As a DNO we believe this modification will not directly impact us.	
EDF Energy	Large Supplier	Yes	It will marginally reduce effort by not requiring a letter of endorsement to be sent for the updates by EDF.	





#### Question 5: Will your organisation incur any costs in implementing MP222?

	Question 5			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale	
National Grid Electricity Distribution	Network Party	No costs	As a DNO we believe we will not incur any direct costs following this modification.	
EDF Energy	Large Supplier	No costs	No significant cost will be incurred or saved by EDF due to the change as our overall testing process for new firmware will not be heavily impacted. The main impact we see this having is that the manufacturer will not have to wait for us to complete our own internal testing before being able to list the new firmware on the CPL.	





## Question 6: How long from the point of approval would your organisation need to implement MP222?

	Question 6			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale	
National Grid Electricity Distribution	Network Party	N/A	No comment.	
EDF Energy	Large Supplier	None	Due to there being only a small impact to our process, we do not require any time period to implement this change.	





#### Question 7: Do you believe that MP222 would better facilitate the General SEC Objectives?

	Question 7			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale	
National Grid Electricity Distribution	Network Party	Yes	We believe this Modification will better facilitate General SEC Objectives (a), (c) and (d).	
EDF Energy	Large Supplier	Yes	We agree that this change will better facilitate SEC Objectives (a), (c) and (d).	





## Question 8: Do you believe there will be any impacts on or benefits to consumers if MP222 is implemented?

	Question 8			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale	
National Grid Electricity Distribution	Network Party	Yes	We believe consumers will be impacted by this modification for the reasons stated in the modification report.	
EDF Energy	Large Supplier	Yes	It can speed up the time to fix operational issues seen on assets but adding a new firmware to the CPL does not automatically mean EDF deploys it to the meter estate. We do so after assessing the impacts of any changes, expected bug fixes and internal testing and field piloting, before mass deployment. The time to CPL is only one factor in the overall time to deploy a change.	





## Question 9: Noting the costs and benefits of this modification, do you believe MP222 should be approved?

	Question 9			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale	
National Grid Electricity Distribution	Network Party	Yes	No comment.	
EDF Energy	Large Supplier	Yes	The proposal is reasonable and offers a benefit of time to deployment at no significant cost to EDF.	





#### Question 10: Please provide any further comments you may have.

	Question 10				
Respondent	Category	Comments			
National Grid Electricity Distribution	Network Party	No further comments.			
EDF Energy	Large Supplier	No further comments.			

