

This document is classified as **White** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Information can be shared with the public, and any members may publish the information, subject to copyright.

# MP182 ‘Improving Transparency of Election Results’ Refinement Consultation responses

## About this document

---

This document contains the full collated responses received to the MP182 Refinement Consultation.

## Question 1: Do you agree that the solution put forward will effectively resolve the identified issue?

Question 1				
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale	SECAS Response
Western Power Distribution	Networks Party	Yes	-	-
OVO Energy	Large Supplier	Yes	We believe that the solution proposed will resolve the identified issue, however we do not agree with the issue nor are we affected by it.	-
Electricity North West Ltd	Networks Party	Yes	The SEC should follow a transparent approach to elections whereby all elections under the SEC should have the result published, detailing the number of votes received by each candidate	-

## Question 2: Do you agree that the legal text will deliver MP182?

Question 2				
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale	SECAS Response
Western Power Distribution	Networks Party	Yes	-	-
OVO Energy	Large Supplier	Yes	-	-
Electricity North West Ltd	Networks Party	Yes	See our response to 10	-

### Question 3: Do you agree with the proposed implementation approach?

Question 3				
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale	SECAS Response
Western Power Distribution	Networks Party	Yes	-	-
OVO Energy	Large Supplier	Yes	However, we do not agree that this is an issue.	-
Electricity North West Ltd	Networks Party	Yes	-	-

## Question 4: Will there be any impact on your organisation to implement MP182?

Question 4				
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale	SECAS Response
Western Power Distribution	Networks Party	No	We believe there will be no direct impact to our organisation	-
OVO Energy	Large Supplier	No	-	-
Electricity North West Ltd	Networks Party	No	-	-

## Question 5: Will your organisation incur any costs in implementing MP182?

Question 5				
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale	SECAS Response
Western Power Distribution	Networks Party	No Costs	-	-
OVO Energy	Large Supplier	None other than the SEC costs.	-	-
Electricity North West Ltd	Networks Party	No Costs	-	-

## Question 6: How long from the point of approval would your organisation need to implement MP182?

Question 6				
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale	SECAS Response
Western Power Distribution	Networks Party	N/A	-	-
OVO Energy	Large Supplier	Immediately	-	-
Electricity North West Ltd	Networks Party	N/A	-	-

## Question 7: Do you believe that MP182 would better facilitate the General SEC Objectives?

Question 7				
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale	SECAS Response
Western Power Distribution	Networks Party	Yes	-	-
OVO Energy	Large Supplier	No	No SEC objectives are affected by this modification.	-
Electricity North West Ltd	Networks Party	Yes	We agree the solution will better facilitates objective (g) as it will provide all SEC Parties with more information for the election results.	-



## Question 8: Do you believe there will be any impacts on or benefits to consumers if MP182 is implemented?

Question 8				
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale	SECAS Response
Western Power Distribution	Networks Party	No	-	-
OVO Energy	Large Supplier	No	-	-
Electricity North West Ltd	Networks Party	-	-	-

## Question 9: Noting the costs and benefits of this modification, do you believe MP182 should be approved?

Question 9				
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale	SECAS Response
Western Power Distribution	Networks Party	Yes	-	-
OVO Energy	Large Supplier	No	We do not believe that there is any benefit to this modification despite the cost.	-
Electricity North West Ltd	Networks Party	Yes	All elections under the SEC should have the result published, detailing the number of votes received by each candidate	-

## Question 10: Please provide any further comments you may have

Question 10			
Respondent	Category	Comments	SECAS Response
<b>Western Power Distribution</b>	Networks Party	-	-
<b>OVO Energy</b>	Large Supplier	Part of the rationale for this modification is that all other codes do this, which is not the case. We come under REC, which is the highest level code and they do not publish the number of votes. We shall, therefore, not be in support of this modification.	Identifying precedent in other Codes was not meant to be used as rationale for a change, but identified options that are currently in use within the industry.
<b>Electricity North West Ltd</b>	Networks Party	The legal text refers to publishing the results on the Website. Would it not be more transparent to publish the results on the Website and also in the usual email notification along with the election result.	Yes, the process should include publishing results via the same channels that are used currently. We will review the legal text in this regard.