

# SEC Modification Proposal, SECMP0078 DCC Change Requests 1233, 1342, 4294

## Incorporation of Multiple Issue Resolution Proposals Into the SEC - Part 2

## Full Impact Assessment (FIA)





## Contents

2	Exe	xecutive Summary4				
3	Rev	Revision History				
	3.1	Associated Documents	5			
	3.2	Document Information	5			
4	Solu	ution Requirements and Overview	6			
	4.1	Context	6			
	4.2	Issue	6			
	4.3	Business Requirements	6			
		4.3.1 Requirement 1, IRP550 GCS20r - Response when an error occurs	6			
		4.3.2 Requirement 2, IRP603 Security Log display over HAN	7			
		4.3.3 Requirement 3, IRP604 Query on IRP550 - Frame Control	7			
	4.4	Business Benefits	7			
5	Solu	ution Overview	9			
	5.1	DSP Solution	9			
		5.1.1 IRP550 - GCS20r Response when an error occurs	9			
		5.1.2 IRP604	9			
		5.1.3 GBCS Versioning and Other Components	9			
	5.2	Critical Software	10			
		5.2.1 GFI Core	10			
		5.2.2 SMITEn Lite	10			
	5.3	CSP South and Central	11			
	5.4	CSP North Solution	12			
6	Tes	ting Considerations	13			
	6.1	PIT Testing	13			
		6.1.1 DSP Testing	13			
		6.1.2 CSP South and Central Testing	14			
		6.1.3 CSP North Testing	14			
	6.2	System Integration Testing	16			
		6.2.1 DSP SIT	16			
		6.2.2 CSP South and Central SIT	16			
		6.2.3 CSP North SIT	17			
		6.2.4 System Integrator Effort	17			
	6.3	UIT Testing	17			
7	Implementation Timescales and Releases18					
	7.1	Change Lead Times and Timelines	18			

## Data Communications Company

7.2	Costs and Charges	18		
7.3	Application Support	19		
7.4	Impact on Contracts and Schedules	19		
Appendi	x A: Risks, Assumptions, Issues, and Dependencies	20		
Risks				
Assi	20			
lssu	lssues			
Dep	Dependencies2			
Appendix B: Glossary				
Appendi	Appendix C: Source Documents with IRP Details23			



### 2 **Executive Summary**

The Change Board are asked to approve the following:

- Total cost to implement SECMP0078 of £2,633,973 as a standalone release
- The timescales to complete the implementation of eight (8) months
- Include SECMP0078 as part of the November 2022 SEC Systems Release

#### **Problem Statement**

Three Issue Resolution Proposals (IRPs) have been identified, IRP550, 'GCS20r - Response when an error occurs', IRP603, 'Security Log display over HAN' and IRP604, 'Query on IRP550 - Frame Control'. The solution for these IRPs have been identified and fixes proposed.

#### **Benefit Summary**

These IRPs add clarity and corrections to the Technical Specifications documents. Device manufacturers are required to follow these Specifications when developing or maintaining their Devices. Therefore, any errors or miscommunication of these Specifications will mean the Device will not work as intended. Implementing SECMP078 will benefit the industry as Devices will be performing accurately. It will also add clarity and consistency across the Specification.



### 3 Revision History

Revision Date	Revision	Summary of Changes
09/07/2021	0.1	Initial compilation from Service Providers
03/11/2021 0.3		Challenged and reviewed submissions

### 3.1 Associated Documents

This document is associated with the following documents:

#	Title and Originator's Reference	Source	Issue Date
1	MP078-Business-Requirements-v0.4-Updated-2IRPs	SECAS	17/04/2020
3	SECMP0078 CR1233 – PIA – IRPs v0.85	DCC	27/11/2020

### 3.2 Document Information

The Proposer for this Modification is Simon Trivella of British Gas. The original proposal was submitted in July 2019.

The first PIA was requested of DCC on 30th September 2019. DCC submitted an initial PIA on 31<sup>st</sup> December, 2019, and the total ROM was £1.9million. The scope of the Modification was changed, and a further request for a PIA was received on 17<sup>th</sup> April, 2020. Service Providers submitted a response to DCC with the total costs of the PIA was a ROM of £1.2million. After discussions with SECAS, DCC rejected the ROM, requesting that SPs revise their submission. There have been two further iterations and the final ROM is presented within this PIA.

The Full Impact Assessment was requested on the 1<sup>st</sup> April 2021. Initial responses from the Service Providers were challenged on the basis of costs and content and resulted in the further releases of the FIA responses by both CSP North as well as CSP South and Central.

The FIA for the Modification contained costs broken down by application phase only. Costs for each Service Provider with Release Cost calculations are shown in a separate Code Red Annex.



### 4 Solution Requirements and Overview

In this section, the context of the Modification, assumptions, and the requirements are stated.

The SEC Definitions, issue statement, and requirements following have been provided by SECAS, the Technical Specification Issue Resolution Sub-Group (TSIRS), and the Proposer.

### 4.1 Context

This SEC Modification handles incorporation of a set of IRPs into the SEC. Issue Resolution Proposals (IRPs) identify issues within the SEC Technical Specification documents and put forward a solution to the identified problem. In the early stages of the Smart Metering Implementation Program, BEIS took the lead in developing the Technical Specifications that sit under the SEC. As part of this, BEIS also took responsibility for receiving and responding to issues raised internally, by the DCC, and by other interested industry parties. Since its inception, several hundred issues have been raised in relation to technical specifications under the SEC through the Technical Specification Issue Resolution Sub-Group (TSIRS). In some cases, these queries have been resolved by providing an explanation of the specifications in the form of IRPs.

BEIS has previously implemented the required IRPs via BEIS-led designations; however, this process has now been handed over to SECAS for changes to be implemented through the Modifications Process. To improve efficiency, it was agreed these changes should be progressed under a single proposal at regular intervals. This will be the second batch of these changes.

### 4.2 Issue

In general, IRPs add clarity and corrections to the Technical Specification documents. Device manufacturers are required to follow these documents for the specifications of their Devices. Therefore, any errors or miscommunication of these specifications will mean the Device will not work as intended. TSIRS have agreed that these are issues and have agreed upon the solutions. Not implementing these solutions would mean that these problems would not be resolved.

### 4.3 **Business Requirements**

This section contains the considerations and assumptions for each business requirement. Excerpts from each of the IRPs and it is expected that the DCC will develop solution(s) to the consequential changes these IRPs will have on the DCC Systems. The document text changes are contained within each of the IRPs.

The IRPs provided for the PIA are included in "Appendix C: Source Documents with IRP Details" at the end of this document. The following sections contains supporting information about each IRP as well as the IRP title.

#### 4.3.1 Requirement 1, IRP550 GCS20r - Response when an error occurs

Errors cannot be signalled via the Zigbee Smart Energy (ZSE) Report Event Configuration command which is currently required as the only option in the GCS20r Response. However, errors could occur in Device processing and so the GCS20r Response needs to allow for such situations.



In common with other Use Cases where this situation applies, the proposed change is to allow the GCS20r Response to contain a ZigBee Default Response (as an Alternate Response) to signal any failures.

This addresses cases where a failure occurs while a Device executes GCS20r and errors occur in Device processing.

#### 4.3.2 Requirement 2, IRP603 Security Log display over HAN

The Smart Metering Equipment Technical Specification (SMETS) and Great Britain Companion Specification (GBCS) require that the:

- Gas Proxy Function (GPF), Communications Hub Function (CHF), Gas Smart Metering Equipment (GSME) and Electricity Smart Metering Equipment (ESME) Security Logs can be read by remote parties (with access controlled by the DCC).
- GSME provides changes to its Security Log to the GPF, so that the GPF can maintain a copy of the GSME's Security Log. This copy can be read by remote parties.
- GSME and ESME make their Security Logs available on the user interface (e.g. the meter display) 'following physical access through the Secure Perimeter'.

The design intention was that these would be the only ways to access Security Logs, so the Logs should not be made available to Home Area Network (HAN) devices (e.g. PPMIDs, IHDs or CADs), by either the Communications Hub or the ESME. Note GSMEs do not communicate with HAN Devices, except for the Comms Hub.

Further, GSME and ESME should not make Security Logs available on the User Interface, unless there has been 'physical access through the Secure Perimeter'.

However, there are no explicit prohibitions currently for:

- sharing Security Logs with HAN devices
- only displaying security logs without access through the Secure Perimeter

The proposed solution is to amend SMETS (for ESME and GSME) and CHTS (for Comms Hubs) to add explicit prohibitions.

This change would ensure security logs are not available to PPMID, IHD, or CAD devices or readable through non-secured access which might otherwise compromise the security of the Smart Metering System.

#### 4.3.3 Requirement 3, IRP604 Query on IRP550 - Frame Control

IRP604 has been identified as a GBCS text only change and will be implemented in SECMP0078, applying text changes on top of those implemented by IRP550. IRP604 can only be implemented at the same time as, or following, the implementation of IRP550.

#### 4.4 **Business Benefits**

The IRPs included in this Modification add clarity and corrections to the Technical Specifications documents. Device manufacturers are required to follow these Specifications when developing or maintaining their Devices. Therefore, any errors or miscommunication of these Specifications will mean the Device will not work as intended. Implementing



SECMP078 will benefit the industry as Devices will be performing accurately. It will also add clarity and consistency across the Specification.

The Security Sub-Committee (SSC) noted that the security concern identified in IRP603 presents a low risk, but that the modification should still progress to resolve the issue.



### **5** Solution Overview

Elements of changes to the solution are identified in the following sections. The following table identifies impacts to each Service Provider.

Ref.	Requirement	DSP	Critical	CSP North	CSP South and Central
1	IRP550, 'GCS20r - Response when an error occurs'	~	×	~	~
2	IRP603, 'Security Log display over HAN'	×	~	~	~
3	IRP604, Query on IRP550 - Frame Control	×	×	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

Table 1: IRP Impacts for Each Service Provider

It should be noted that this solution is only applicable to SMETS2 devices.

### 5.1 DSP Solution

#### 5.1.1 IRP550 - GCS20r Response when an error occurs

Currently, there is no way of signalling an error if a failure occurs while a Device executes GCS20r (Read non-critical event and alert behaviours - GSME-Supplier).

However, errors could occur in Device processing and so the GCS20r Response needs to allow for such situations. In common with other Use Cases where this situation applies, the GCS20r Response will be allowed to contain a ZigBee Default Response (as an Alternate Response) to signal any failures. This will be an additional element in the Response.

The Zigbee Cluster Library (ZCL) payload of the Default Response will contain 0x05 as the value of the Command identifier if the GCS20r command does not succeed. DCC Data Systems will need to amend the corresponding Transform Library to support the new element in the GCS20r Response. In the absence of the Default Response, the processing shall be carried out as it is today.

Although a Default Response has been added to GCS20r, this does not change the existing functional behaviour of the Service Request Variant 6.2.10 (Read Device Configuration (Event And Alert Behaviours)) and as such, no changes are expected to DUIS.

#### 5.1.2 IRP604

IRP604 is a correction to a sub-field that indicates the direction of message (client-server) of the Frame Control field within the new Default Response added to GCS20r. This has no impact on the DSP, as the correction will be made alongside IRP550.

#### 5.1.3 GBCS Versioning and Other Components

A new GBCS version will be introduced in order to support IRP550 and IRP604. The version of GBCS that will include these IRPs are not finalised yet, but is most likely to be part of the GBCS 4.x version.



DSP is required to make data updates to support the new version of GBCS. It is possible that the effort involved in adding support for new version of GBCS is absorbed by a wider Release CR, and any other Modifications or Change Requests in this SEC Release will share the GBCS development costs across multiple activities. Based on that assumption, DSP have provided the effort involved in adding support for GBCS version as a separately identifiable item.

The Transform component will need to parse the Default Response for the Use Case GCS20r.

For the Data Management component, the DSP will need to update reference data to add support for the new version of GBCS.

#### 5.2 Critical Software

Notice that Critical did not supply an FIA, but the following information is included for completeness.

#### 5.2.1 GFI Core

To meet the requirements specified in **IRP550**, the GBCS Integration Testing For Industry (GFI) tool will need to implement full support of GCS20r as follows:

- Enhance the GFI Testing Tool to support GCS20r on GFI Testing Tool
- Implement support for GCS20r on the Reference Test Data Set (RTDS)
- Update GMST with GCS20r
- Enhance the Triage Tool to support GCS20r

To meet the requirements specified in **IRP603**, GFI will need to update the Comms Hub to restrict the access of Security Logs to HAN devices.

- Restrict access to CHF Security Log to Type1 and Type2 devices
- Restrict access to GPF Security Log to Type1 and Type2 devices

#### 5.2.2 SMITEn Lite

The changes required to implement this Modification will affect the SMITEn parse service requiring an upgrade to a new version of the Parse and Correlate application.

For the IRPs, SMITEn Lite changes are:

- IRP550 Add integration and system tests for use case GCS20r and review tests.
- IRP603 No effort required this only affects the availability of the Security Logs for HAN devices.

As a whole, these changes will require the release of a new version of SMITEn Lite.



### 5.3 CSP South and Central

For CSP South and Central, there is no Comms Hub firmware release required to implement this Modification.

IRP #	Description	Impacts CH ?	Comments
550	DCC System changes for IRP550 'GCS20r - Response when an error occurs	No	No change to the Communication Hub required to implement this IRP.
603	Security Log display over HAN	Yes	WNC as a result of the IRP581 implementation, requires no further changes to implement IRP603.
			For Toshiba, IRP603 requires a configuration change which is being included as part of the current quarterly FMP release 13.x.
604	Query on IRP550 - Frame Control raised by Flonidan	No	No change to the Communication Hub required to implement this IRP.

One of the CSP North Comms Hub vendors (WNC) has already made the changes for IRP603 fix as part of its GBCS 3.2 firmware release, while the other vendor (Toshiba) will be implementing IRP603 configuration changes as part of its currently planned quarterly FMP release 13.x.

The impact on the device emulators are as follows.

IRP	Emulator Impacted	Description of Change
550	GSME	On reception of GCS20r command, the GSME Emulator always provides "Normal response" as default configuration of Event is available in it. There will be test support in GSME Emulator UI to generate "alternate response" for GCS20r command.
603	PPMID and IHD	PPMID/IHD Emulator does not support "GetEventLog" command generation over HAN. Also, Event cluster is not present in ZSE Device requirements as per GBCS v3.2. For GPF/ESME Testing purpose, this command can be added to support PPMID/IHD Emulator UI if required for negative testing
603	ESME and GSME	<ol> <li>Add support to see Security Logs in ESME/GSME Emulator UI (Password protection).</li> <li>ESME Emulator does not send "PublishEvent" command with LogId="Security Event Log" to type1/type2 devices. GSME Emulator does not send "PublishEvent" command to type1/type2 devices.</li> </ol>
IRP604	GSME	On reception of GCS20r command, the GSME Emulator always provides "Normal response" as default configuration of Event is available in it. As part of this CR, there will be test support in GSME Emulator UI to generate "alternate response" for GCS20r command.



### 5.4 CSP North Solution

IRP 550 has no effect on development for the CSP North.

For IRP603, the Comms Hub shall reject any getEventLog command received for log id 0x04 (Security Log) with a ZigBee status of 0x7E – NOT\_AUTHORIZED. When the GSME publishes an event to the GPF, it uses the event control bits within the command to denote whether the event should be published to all bound HAN devices. Where a publishEvent command is received from the GSME containing an event with log id of 0x04 (Security Log), the Communications Hub will now disregard the setting of event control bit 0 and will not publish the event to bound devices.

For Unit Testing, CSP North have indicated the need to develop an IHD stub, and request the security log from the Comms Hub. Unit Testing with IHD and PPMID devices joined and bound on the events cluster will also be required. The existing IHD and GSME stubs will need to be modified to support testing because real devices will not send the commands required to test these firmware changes.



### **6** Testing Considerations

This Full Impact Assessment includes the cost to develop, fully test and deliver this SEC Modification.

DCC-L provided assumptions for PIT testing are as follows:

Area	Assumption
PIT Environment	Assume a PIT environment will be made available for this testing to be carried out.
Communication Hub	Any change testing will be limited to PIT testing of the new functionality outlined in the Modification as well as PIT regression testing. PIT System testing will consist of one cycle of testing of the new functionality delivered by this Change Request, plus one cycle of targeted regression testing.
Repeat Testing	A subset of PIT System test cases will be conducted for DCC Test Assurance witnessing
Non-Functional / Performance Testing	Will be carried out at this stage

#### Table 2: DCC Supplied PIT Testing Assumptions

Testing costs for SIT and UIT will be built on the following assumptions provided by DCC and agreed with the Service Providers

Area	Assumption
Standalone Release	As required by SECMP0049, with costs calculated as if no other Modifications or CRs are in this release Note that CSP South and Central may include testing as part of their Firmware Management Policy (FMP).
SIT Testing	8 weeks
UIT Testing	8 weeks
Test Sets	10 Test Sets per Communication Hub type (20 for Telefónica, same split per band but two manufacturers). A device set will be agreed.
Regression Testing	Risk based regression testing.

#### Table 3: SIT and UIT Testing Assumptions

Note that SIT Testing duration has been reduced by the CSPs on their recommendations, and that no UIT testing is required.

### 6.1 PIT Testing

#### 6.1.1 DSP Testing

Pre-Integration Testing (PIT) will be required to align DSP functionality and the functionality described above. The development team will carry out unit testing and the



build will be subject to continuous build and automated testing to identify build issues at the earliest opportunity. The implementation team will carry out system testing consisting of positive and negative path testing.

#### 6.1.2 CSP South and Central Testing

CSP South and Central have indicated a need to design, build and system test changes to the HAN Device Emulator (SLS) required to implement the IRPs.

During PIT, CSP South and Central will design, build and system test changes to test tooling required to assure the solution in the PIT environment. By using the FMP delivery approach, no additional Comms Hubs, test hardware or software needs to be procured. PIT Functional / Regression testing with RTL and ITCH variants is out of scope for this Modification. As the IRP changes are limited to HAN specific changes, CSP South and Central will carry out the PIT Functional and Regression testing with only Production codebase Comms Hub variants as follows:

- 1. Toshiba Single Band Comms Hubs (SBCH)
- 2. WNC SBCH
- 3. Toshiba SBCH Mesh
- 4. Toshiba DBCH
- 5. WNC DBCH
- 6. Toshiba DBCH Mesh

CSP South and Central will carry out limited regression testing for this Modification with only the above listed Production codebase variants, with the scope of the PIT regression testing being more focussed to only cover the basic testing on GPF, GSME, ESME - read security logs as follows:

- Functional testing in PIT with the Production codebase variant and HAN device emulator changes. The functional testing will be limited to one cycle of testing in PIT with both Toshiba and WNC SBCH and DBCH operating on GBCS 3.2 and 4.x firmware.
- Regression Testing in PIT
- Triage, Defect Management and Release management support during PIT functional and regression testing.

Note that no savings would be generated by grouping these into a Release. The nature of the Firmware Management Policy has already resulted in savings to the charges.

#### 6.1.3 CSP North Testing

PIT will be performed with:

- real (physical) meters
- PPMIDs



#### HCALCS

Comms Hub variants along with Debug and Non-Debug test variants. These will be tested in a near parallel approach. The governance will be developed and published in an agreed DCC Test Approach and will provide daily and end of PIT phase completion reports as well as attend all relevant calls and facilitate DCC witness testing. The following testing will be carried out in PIT:

Comms Hub Variant Test Scope	EDMI Single Band Standard 420	EDMI Dual Band Standard 420	EDMI Dual Band Variant 450
GPF should not share the GSME security log to Type 1 Device	Х	X	Х
GPF should not share the GSME security log to Type 2 Device	Х	X	
GPF should respond with NOT_AUTHORIZED to Type 1 device when requested for GSME security log	X	X	Х
GPF should respond with NOT_AUTHORIZED to Type 2 device when requested for GSME security log	X	X	
GPF should share GSME event log to type 1 device	Х	Х	Х
GPF should share GSME event log to type 2 device	Х	Х	
GSME security Log can be read from GPF via SR 6.13	Х	X	
GPF can store all GSME security event in its GPF GSME proxy log	Х	X	

Table 4: Test Cases and Comms Hub Variants for CSP North PIT Testing

The test approach for PIT will be as follows:

- Consumption data will be set up during preparation for PIT phase
- Testing in PIT will be performed with real devices for regression testing, with emulators used to validate the specific IRP603 fix functionality
- New functionality testing with primarily with SBCH and DBCH; other variants will be covered targeting new functionality testing and targeted regression testing
- • PIT will take a risk-based approach for regression testing, where DBCH will be tested primarily compared to SBCH
- • PIT for DBCH will cover testing with both SubGHz and 2.4GHz band functionality



- Two cycles of PIT testing four weeks<sup>1</sup> for each test cycle with each Comms Hub variant (SBCH, DBCH/DBCH-F), excluding test preparation, ITCH, W-ITCH execution, test completion report and work-off.
- • All functional sets will be planned in combination of ne ESME,one GSME and one PPMID or IHD.

The proposed test set requirement for PIT is as follows:

CH Variant/Env PIT	SBCH (Standard 420 CH)	DBCH (Standard 420 DB CH)	DBCH-F (Variant 450DN CH)
Functional and regression	4	6	4
Soak Tests	1	2	1
ITCH	3	3	n/a
W-ITCH	1	1	1

It should be noted that the regression testing proposed by CSP North is more extensive than would be expected for a change of this magnitude. It is expected that when combined with other Modifications and Change Requests in a SEC Release, the effort and cost for CSP North PIT testing in this Modification will be reduced.

### 6.2 System Integration Testing

#### 6.2.1 DSP SIT

The scope of Systems Integration Testing (SIT) within the Modification will consist of:

- 1. Generation of the error a device emulator will use;
- 2. Execute SRV 6.2.10 (Read Device Configuration (Event and Alert Behaviours)
- 3. Generation of Use Cases for both ESME and GSME, Use Case GCS20r is for the GSME to verify this not impacted by the change.

SRV6.2.10 will be executed against an emulator and against any one of the CHF types for a current SMETS2 and GBCS configuration that is selected by the DCC. The emulator will be required to generate a device error that is incorporated into the SRV response.

The following changes for SIT activities are required:

#### 6.2.2 CSP South and Central SIT

The CSP South and Central SIT assumptions are:

- A total of 4 device sets (Comms Hubs, meters or emulators) and 4 contingency Comms Hubs has been considered for SIT test preparation and readiness.
- There are no new devices/ emulators which are introduced in SIT and the devices/emulators already exists in the Test Lab.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is an exceptional level of testing four weeks to carry out eight test cases per device type. This has been challenged by the DCC. IN addition testing the Wireless ITCH variant does not seem necessary



CSP South and Central have costed for three(3) weeks of SIT Test execution support for assuring the changes for this Modification.

#### 6.2.3 CSP North SIT

CSP North SIT testing will follow the same format as that in PIT.

#### 6.2.4 System Integrator Effort

There will be a requirement for System Integrator Release Management to coordinate deployment of the CSP functionality to the SIT environment and finally into Production.

#### 6.3 UIT Testing

There is no perceived need to specifically test this change separately in the UIT environment. Regression testing is assumed to be covered under the release within which this change is implemented.



### 7 Implementation Timescales and Releases

This Modification was expected to be included in a SEC release in November 2022. Implementation timescales will be finalised as part of the relevant SEC Release Change Request.

### 7.1 Change Lead Times and Timelines

From the date of approval (in accordance with Section D9 of the SEC), to implement the changes proposed DCC requires a lead time of approximately **8 months**.

The broad breakdown of the testing regime is shown in the following table in months after an approval decision date (D).

Phase	Duration
SECAS agreement on scope of release	
CAN signature, CSP South and Central Mobilisation starts	D + 1 Month
Design, Build and PIT Phase	D + 5 Months
SIT and UIT Phases Complete	D +8 Months
Transition to Operations and Go Live	D + 8 Months

### 7.2 Costs and Charges

This section indicates the quote per application phase for this Modification.

£	Design and Build	PIT	SIT	UIT	TTO	SP Total
Phase Total	580,643	1,306,073	727,257	0	20,000	2,633,973
Design and Build		The design and development of the designed Systems and Services to create a solution (e.g. code, systems, or products) that can be tested and implemented.				
Pre-Integration Testing (PIT)		Each Service Provider tests its own solution to agreed standards in isolation of other Service Providers. This is assured by DCC.				
Systems Integration Testing (SIT)		All the Service Provider's PIT-complete solutions are brought together and tested as an integrated solution, ensuring all SP solutions align and operate as an end-to-end solution.				
User Integration Testing (UIT)		Users are provided with an opportunity to run a range of pre- specified tests in relation to the relevant change.				
Implementation to Live (TTO)		The solution is implemented into production environments and made ready for use by Users as part of a live service.				



The development costs include an element for a GBCS change. If the SEC Release contains other GBCS changes, these costs will be shared amongst the other Modifications and Change Requests leading to a drop in DSP development costs.

It should be noted that the regression testing proposed by CSP North is more extensive than would be expected for a change of this magnitude. It is expected that when combined with other Modifications and Change Requests in a SEC Release, the effort and cost for this Modification will be reduced.

However CSP South and Central noted that no savings would be generated by grouping this Modification and other CRs into a SEC Release. The nature of the Firmware Management Policy has already resulted in savings to the charges above.

### 7.3 Application Support

The Application Management Support team is responsible for the provision of application level support for the DCC Data System application. This change provides additional functionality that will be subject to support to the end of the DSP contract.

The new functionality could result in approximately one call per month on an ongoing basis. As a result, DSP has made a conservative estimate that the change will result in one low-medium complexity call that needs to be assimilated, investigated, resolved and monitored per month over the life of the contract.

The team will need to be prepared to support the change from the day it goes into live operation. As such the team must review the functional solution and its technical implementation. The team must understand any configurable options and develop procedures to enable its support. This information must be absorbed across the team.

For the DSP an one-off Application Support charge of £1,573 is anticipated for this Modification.

### 7.4 Impact on Contracts and Schedules

At a minimum, the following DSP schedules will be updated as a result of the changes introduced by this Modification:

- Schedule 6.1 to reflect delivery milestones
- Schedule 7.1 to reflect payment milestones under this Modification
- Schedule 11 to reflect an uplift to the CH specifications
- Schedule 12 to reflect the uplifted technical specification versions

CSP contract schedules to be amended include:

- Schedule 6.1 to reflect any delivery milestones under this Change Request.
- Schedule 7.1 to reflect any payments under this Change Request.



### Appendix A: Risks, Assumptions, Issues, and Dependencies

The tables below provide a summary of the Risks, Assumptions, Issues, and Dependencies (RAID) observed during the production of the Full Impact Assessment. DCC requests that the Working Group considers this section and considers any material matters that have been identified. Changes may impact the proposed solution, implementation costs and/or implementation timescales.

### Risks

Ref	Description	Status/Mitigation
CSC-R3	Risk that this Change Request is seen to be poor value for money given the expectation that all Test Comms Hub variants have been included in scope. The Test Comms Hub variants in operation today have significant overlapping scope and use cases. CSP South and Central recommend considering reducing the scope and complexity of this Modification by simplifying the Test Comms Hub product line.	Accept
CSC-R8	As additional CRs are included in FMP scheduled releases, there may be a priority call on which defects can be fixed within the available slots in FMP. There is a risk that defect fixes may be delayed to accommodate CRs to be in scope for FMP release candidates. Prioritising and scheduling of CR and defects within the FMP will be agreed within the Firmware Management Forum.	Open, but management will also involve DCC In Life Change Delivery team

### Assumptions

These assumptions have been used in the creation of this Full Impact Assessment. Any changes to the assumptions may require DCC to undertake further assessment, prior to the contracting and implementation of this change.

Ref	Description	Status/Mitigation
CSC-A3	Assume that when the associated GBCS/SMETS/CHTS specifications to support the changes for this Modification are defined, there will be no material changes from the documentation beyond those specified in this document.	Accepted, but noted that there are no changes in SMETS or CHTS, and that CSP South and Central will update CH02
	Further, CSP S and C assumes that the DCC-L will raise a separate CR once the baselined approved industry specification is made available (GBCS 4.x, CHTS 1.x) prior to Telefónica Communication Hubs being able to declare compliance to the associated CHTS version.	Accepted, but note no CHTS version change
CSC-A12	Assumes that the functional changes to CH firmware can be delivered with the application layer and do not require stack developments from the ZigBee chip provider, or the WAN modem providers.	Accepted
	Should a new stack be needed, besides additional development time, experience has shown a lengthy CPA accreditation is also needed.	



#### Issues

None at this time.

### Dependencies

Ref.	Dependency	Implication if not met	Status
CSC-D1	Dependency on DCC to provide and confirm the GBCS/SMETS/CHTS specifications to support the changes in this Modification	Work cannot start and a further FIA may be required	Accepted but noted that there are no changes in SMETS or CHTS, and that CSP South and Central will update CH02
CSC-D2	Dependency on updating the Parse and Correlate application		Rejected; no changes in Parse and Correlate are required.



## Appendix B: Glossary

The table below provides definitions of the terms used in this document.

Acronym	Definition		
ACB	Access Control Broker		
BEIS	Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy		
CH, Comms Hub	Communications Hub		
CoT	Change of Tenancy		
CHF	Communications Hub Function		
CPA	Commercial Product Assurance		
CR	(DCC) Change Request		
CSP	Communication Service Provider		
DCC	Data Communications Company		
DSP	Data Service Provider		
DUIS	DCC User Interface Specification		
ESI	Enterprise Systems Interface		
ESME	Electricity Smart Metering Equipment		
FIA	Full Impact Assessment		
FMP	Firmware Management Policy		
FOC	Final Operating Capability		
GBCS	Great Britain Companion Specification		
GFI	GBCS Integration Testing For Industry		
GPF	Gas Proxy Function		
GSME	Gas Smart Metering Equipment		
HAN	Home Area Network		
IHD	In Home Display		
IRP	Issue Resolution Proposal		
PIA	Preliminary Impact Assessment		
PIT	Pre-Integration Testing		
PPMID	PrePayment Meter user Interface Device		
SEC	Smart Energy Code		
SECAS	Smart Energy Code Administrator and Secretariat		
SIT	Systems Integration Testing		
SMETS	Smart Metering Equipment Technical Specification		
SM WAN	Wide Area Network		
SP	Service Provider		
SRV	Service Request Variant		
TSIRS	Technical Specification Issue Resolution Sub-Group		
ТТО	Transition to Operations		
UIT	User Integration Testing		



## Appendix C: Source Documents with IRP Details



