

This document is classified as **White** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Information can be shared with the public, and any members may publish the information, subject to copyright.

MP109 ‘ADT and Exit Quarantine file delivery mechanism’

Refinement Consultation responses

Annex E

About this document

This document contains the full collated responses received to the MP109 Refinement Consultation.

Question 1: Do you agree with the solution put forward?

| Question 1 | | | |
|--|----------------|----------|--|
| Respondent | Category | Response | Rationale |
| British Gas | Large Supplier | Yes | The solution sounds reasonable however will not impact our organisation and therefore we are neutral. |
| Western Power Distribution | Network Party | No | Whilst we agree with the intent we don't agree that the solution is fit for purpose. The updated wording states that Users 'shall' provide the file via the DCC's secure delivery method of choice. This does not allow for instances when this is not possible. |
| OVO | Large Supplier | Yes | Although we prefer email, we have no material issues with moving to this method. |
| Scottish and Southern Electricity Networks | Network Party | Yes | Although this modification is making minimal changes to the current process, SSEN agree that this will improve the security of the ADT and Exit Quarantine file delivery mechanism. |

Question 2: Will there be any impact on your organisation to implement MP109?

| Question 2 | | | |
|--|----------------|----------|--|
| Respondent | Category | Response | Rationale |
| British Gas | Large Supplier | No | We fix the ADT internally and resend a new request rather than the existing quarantined request (as it would be out of date). Therefore this change is non-applicable to our processes. |
| Western Power Distribution | Network Party | Yes | We will be impacted as we will need to submit files via a different method. |
| OVO | Large Supplier | Yes | Sending an email was far more straightforward and less complex to achieve than using the very un-userfriendly Sharepoint. We will need to change our processes to do so. Not onerous or problematic to us but we have issues with the DCC Sharepoint and hope that all who currently provide ADTs via email will have rights to be able to publish documented and files onto the |
| Scottish and Southern Electricity Networks | Network Party | No | - |

Question 3: Will your organisation incur any costs in implementing MP109?

| Question 3 | | | |
|--|----------------|----------|-----------|
| Respondent | Category | Response | Rationale |
| British Gas | Large Supplier | No | - |
| Western Power Distribution | Network Party | No | - |
| OVO | Large Supplier | No | n/a |
| Scottish and Southern Electricity Networks | Network Party | No | - |

Question 4: Do you believe that MP109 would better facilitate the General SEC Objectives?

| Question 4 | | | |
|--|----------------|----------|--|
| Respondent | Category | Response | Rationale |
| British Gas | Large Supplier | Yes | For those required to use the process, it appears a more secure method and supports SEC objective F. |
| Western Power Distribution | Network Party | - | We feel that this modification is neutral against the SEC Objectives. We don't feel that the argument for better facilitating the SEC Objectives is clear. Whilst we understand that email is not as secure a method of sharing information as SharePoint, the files do not contain any personal data and also, as they are signed the DCC know whether they have been tampered with before they action them and therefore we are not convinced that this modification is better facilitating any of the SEC Objectives. |
| OVO | Large Supplier | Yes | Moving away from email to using Sharepoint is more secure which aligns to the SEC Objectives set out in the Report. |
| Scottish and Southern Electricity Networks | Network Party | Yes | SSEN agree that this modification will better facilitate SEC Objective (f) as detailed in the modification report. |

Question 5: Noting the costs and benefits of this modification, do you believe MP109 should be approved?

| Question 5 | | | |
|--|----------------|----------|--|
| Respondent | Category | Response | Rationale |
| British Gas | Large Supplier | Yes | - |
| Western Power Distribution | Network Party | No | As per our comments under Question 1 we believe that the legal text is not appropriate and as per our comments under Question 4, we are not convinced this modification will better facilitate the SEC Objectives. |
| OVO | Large Supplier | Yes | We have no material issues with moving to this solution. |
| Scottish and Southern Electricity Networks | Network Party | Yes | SSEN note the standard legal text costs and no DCC costs. |

Question 6: How long from the point of approval would your organisation need to implement MP109?

| Question 6 | | | |
|--|----------------|----------|---|
| Respondent | Category | Response | Rationale |
| British Gas | Large Supplier | N/a | - |
| Western Power Distribution | Network Party | N/A | - |
| OVO | Large Supplier | ASAP | As long as the current individuals that provide ADTs via email are able to do so via Sharepoint, we should be able to implement this immediately. |
| Scottish and Southern Electricity Networks | Network Party | N/A | - |

Question 7: Do you agree with the proposed implementation approach?

| Question 7 | | | |
|--|----------------|----------|--|
| Respondent | Category | Response | Rationale |
| British Gas | Large Supplier | Yes | - |
| Western Power Distribution | Network Party | Yes | We note under Section 6 it states there is work required with updates made to the SSI which will be covered outside the modification, however we are presuming that this is just uploading the updated ADT User Guide. |
| OVO | Large Supplier | Yes | As detailed in previous answers. |
| Scottish and Southern Electricity Networks | Network Party | Yes | SSEN Agree with the proposed implantation approach. |

Question 8: Do you agree that the legal text will deliver SECMPMP109?

| Question 8 | | | |
|--|----------------|----------|---|
| Respondent | Category | Response | Rationale |
| British Gas | Large Supplier | Yes | - |
| Western Power Distribution | Network Party | No | The updated wording states that Users 'shall' provide the file via the DCC's secure delivery method of choice. This does not allow for instances when this is not possible. |
| OVO | Large Supplier | Yes | The wording delivers the intent. |
| Scottish and Southern Electricity Networks | Network Party | Yes | SSEN agree that the legal text is clear and unambiguous. |

Question 9: Please provide any further comments you may have?

| Question 9 | | | |
|--|----------------|----------|---|
| Respondent | Category | Response | Rationale |
| British Gas | Large Supplier | - | - |
| Western Power Distribution | Network Party | - | We note that the guidance will be made available on the SSI, however there is currently ADT Guidance on SharePoint. Is the intent to move it all across to the SSI? Might it be appropriate to keep the documentation in the current location, or put it in both locations? |
| OVO | Large Supplier | - | Not at this time. |
| Scottish and Southern Electricity Networks | Network Party | N/A | - |