MP141 'SRV Visibility for Devices on SSI'

November 2021 Working Group – meeting summary

Attendees

Attendee	Organisation
Ali Beard	SECAS
Khaleda Hussain	SECAS
Kev Duddy	SECAS
Mike Fenn	SECAS
Joey Manners	SECAS
Anik Abdullah	SECAS
Andrew Firth	AltHANCo
David Jones	AltHANCo
David Walsh	DCC
Robin Seaby	DCC
Easton Brown	DCC
Sarah-Jane Russell	British Gas
Julie Geary	E.ON
Alex Hurcombe	EDF Energy
Daniel Davis	ESG Global
Terry Jefferson	EUA
Alastair Cobb	Landis + Gyr
Ralph Baxter	Octopus Energy
Michael Walls	Ofgem
James Doyle	Outfox the Market
Emslie Law	Ovo Energy
Mafs Rahman	Scottish Power
Eric Taylor	SLS Corp
Elias Hanna	Smart ADSL
Matthew Alexander	SSEN
Robert Johnstone	Utilita
Gemma Slaney	WPD
Kelly Kinsman	WPD

Overview

The Smart Energy Code Administrator and Secretariat (SECAS) provided an overview of the issue identified, the business requirements, the proposed solution, the DCC Impact Assessment findings and the proposed next steps.

Issue

- Currently, Parties are unable to view Service Request Variants (SRVs) and Service Responses from other Service Users that they receive on their Devices.

- This is due to an obligation in the Smart Energy Code (SEC) that states only an individual User can view the SRVs and Service Responses they send or receive.

- This leads to SRVs and Service Responses being received by Parties without visibility or information of the trigger requests, which is causing issues where they may be high priority or have security implications.

Business Requirements

1. Allow the Responsible Supplier to see all SRVs and Service Responses sent by all Users to a Device they own.

2. Allow Network Operator to see all SRVs and Service Responses sent by all Users to a Device they own via the SSI.

Proposed Solution

- Option A

    This will allow the Responsible Supplier to view all SRVs and Service Responses on any Device via the SSI, so they can make an informed decision on whether to action them

- Option B

    This will allow the Relevant Network Operator to view all SRVs and Service Responses on any Device they hold a certificate on via the SSI, so that they can make an informed decision on whether to action them.

DCC Impact Assessment findings

- Option A Requirement 1 - Allow a Responsible Supplier to see all SRVs and Service Responses sent by all Users to a Device: -

    - A total cost of £158,831 to implement MP141.

    - £105,981 in Design, Build and PIT costs and £52,850 in release costs (SIT, UIT and TTO).

    - Application support cost (Early life support) for a period of two months after the solution is implemented in £8,015.

    - A timescale to complete the implementation of ten months.

MP141 – November 2021 Working Group meeting summary

Managed by
Gemserv

Page 2 of 3

This document has a Classification of White

- Option B Requirement 1 & 2 - Allow Responsible Supplier and Network Operator to see all SRVs and Service Responses sent by all Users to a Device.

  - A total cost of £199,839 to implement MP141.

  - £124,301 in Design, Build and PIT costs and £75,538 in release costs (SIT, UIT and TTO).

  - Application support cost (Early life support) for a period of two months after the solution is implemented is £8,346.

  - A timescale to complete the implementation of ten months.

Working Group Discussion

SECAS (KH) provided an overview of the meeting objectives, the issue and the business requirements, the Proposed Solution and the DCC Impact Assessment findings. The Working Group noted the issue and provided no further comments.

SECAS (AA) queried whether the term 'Devices they own' in the business requirements should be reworded to 'Devices they are responsible for'. The DCC advised these solutions were drafted against the business requirements which were developed from the start of the modification. The Working Group suggested and agreed 'Responsible Supplier', Relevant Network Party' and Responsible SEC Party' should be used this will be added as a footnote into the business requirements. Another Working Group member (EL) advised we need to be careful we are not delivering a solution which does not meet the business requirement. SECAS asked the Working Group if members were happy with what has been impact assessed against and if they believed the findings resolved the initial problem. A Working Group member (GS) confirmed she was happy. SECAS asked the Working Group if there was a preferred solution option to progress the modification forward with. The Working Group members confirmed they wish to progress with Option B which is to allow Responsible Supplier and Relevant Network Operator to see all SRVs and Service Responses sent by all Users to a Device.

The Working Group noted the next steps and provided no further comment.

Next Steps

The following actions were recorded from the meeting:

- SECAS to update 'Devices they own' with the agreed terminology and have this has a footnote in the business requirements.

- SECAS to present MP141 to the December TABASC before presenting to the Change Sub-Committee on 21 December 2021 to agree to move to report phase.