

This document is classified as **White** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Information can be shared with the public, and any members may publish the information, subject to copyright.

# DP156 ‘Unit Inconsistency’

## Request for information responses

### About this document

---

This document contains the full collated responses received to the DP156 Request for information.

## Question 1: Does the impact identified under DP156 impact you and if so how?

Question 1			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale
British Gas	Large Supplier	Yes	Currently we apply the Maximum Meter Balance Threshold using the millipence calculation which we believe is then misinterpreted to a much higher amount.  The same applies for Maximum Credit Threshold however we have internal controls which ensure a consumer cannot top up above the amount set.
EDF	Large Supplier	No	We are not impacted. The Maximum Meter Balance and Maximum Credit Threshold are large values and set it in whole integer units on meters.
Utilita	Large Supplier	No	If the proposed solution involves any changes to DUIS and/or MMC, this will likely cause an added impact on us.

## Question 2: Please provide any further comments you may have.

Question 2		
Respondent	Category	Comments
British Gas	Large Supplier	Our recommendation is for DCC to set spec in DUIS the same as millipence for SMETS2 so the right value is set to the meter.
EDF	Large Supplier	While the TSIRs explanation in the document TS0319 (published in 2016) clarifies the meter and DCC processing behaviour, will SECAS move to eventually fix the alignment of DUIS and the MMC to GBCS?
Utilita	Large Supplier	It is not yet clear why this Unit Inconsistency Modification was raised. Rather than clarifying in consistencies we can see no other value need for this modification, but we welcome any further assessment on any other impacts that have not been identified in this Modification.