

This document is classified as **White** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Information can be shared with the public, and any members may publish the information, subject to copyright.

MP144 ‘Charging of Random Sample Privacy Assessments’

Conclusions Report – version 1.0

About this document

This document summarises the responses received to the Modification Report Consultation and the decision of the Change Board regarding approval or rejection of this modification.

Summary of conclusions

Change Board

The Change Board voted to **approve** MP144. It believed the modification better facilitated SEC Objective (g).

Modification Report Consultation

The Smart Energy Code Administrator and Secretariat (SECAS) received three responses to the Modification Report Consultation. All the respondents believe the modification should be approved. They considered the modification better facilitates Smart Energy Code (SEC) Objective (g).

Modification Report Consultation responses

Summary of responses

Three responses were received from SEC Parties. Of these three responses, one was from a Small Supplier and two were from Electricity Network Parties. All three responses believed the Modification Proposal should be approved.

The Small Supplier who responded believed the Modification Proposal should be approved because when the existing arrangements for socialised Random Sample Privacy Assessment (RSPA) costs were written in, these were minimal. The respondent believes as the Smart Meter Implementation Programme (SMIP) rollout has accelerated, the number of RSPAs have increased which is why they support a move away from socialising industry costs for these assessments. Finally, they believe this move towards the individual User paying for their RSPA is a fairer model, and that it would be aligned with the other User Privacy Assessments.

The Electricity Network Parties that responded believe the Modification Proposal should be approved, citing the improvement of SEC Objective (g) where the SEC would be more transparent in ensuring a common costing methodology model for User Privacy Assessments.

Change Board vote

Change Board vote

The Change Board voted to **approve** MP144 under Self-Governance.

The vote breakdown is summarised below:

Change Board vote				
Party Category	Approve	Reject	Abstain	Outcome
Large Suppliers	5	0	0	Approve
Small Suppliers	1	0	0	Approve
Network Parties	3	0	0	Approve
Other SEC Parties	3	0	0	Approve
Consumer Representative	0	0	0	-
Overall outcome:				APPROVE

The Consumer Representative was not present for the vote.

Views against the General SEC Objectives

Objective (g)¹

The Change Board believed that MP144 better facilitated SEC Objective (g) for the reasons set out in the Modification Report, that there would be consistency among User Privacy Assessment costing methodology and would be clearer for Users to understand.

Change Board discussions

The Change Board had no further comments on the Modification Proposal.

¹ Facilitate the efficient and transparent administration and implementation of the SEC.