This document is classified as **White** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Information can be shared with the public, and any members may publish the information, subject to copyright.

# MP144 'Charging of Random Sample Privacy Assessments'

# Conclusions Report – version 0.1

## About this document

This document summarises the responses received to the Modification Report Consultation.

## Summary of conclusions

### Modification Report Consultation

The Smart Energy Code Administrator and Secretariat (SECAS) received three responses to the Modification Report Consultation. All the respondents believe the modification should be approved. They considered the modification better facilitates Smart Energy Code (SEC) Objective (g).

# Modification Report Consultation responses

## Summary of responses

Three responses were received from SEC Parties. Of these three responses, one was from a Small Supplier and two were from Electricity Network Parties. All three responses believed the Modification Proposal should be approved.

The Small Supplier who responded believed the Modification Proposal should be approved because when the existing arrangements for socialised RSPA costs were written in, these were minimal. The respondent believes as the Smart Meter Implementation Programme (SMIP) rollout has accelerated, the number of RSPAs have increased which is why they support a move away from socialising industry costs for these assessments. Finally, they believe this move towards the individual User paying for their RSPA is a fairer model, and that it would be aligned with the other User Privacy Assessments.

The Electricity Network Parties that responded believe the Modification Proposal should be approved, citing the improvement of SEC Objective (g) where the SEC would be more transparent in ensuring a common costing methodology model for User Privacy Assessments.