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About this document

This document summarises the responses received to the Modification Report Consultation and the decision of the Change Board regarding approval or rejection of this modification.

Summary of conclusions

## Change Board

The Change Board voted to **approve** MP106. It believed the modification better facilitated SEC Objective (a), with minority support under Objectives (c) and (g).

## Modification Report Consultation

Four responses were received to the Modification Report Consultation. Three believe the modification should be approved. They considered the modification better facilitates SEC Objectives (a), (c) and (g).

# Modification Report Consultation responses

## Summary of responses

Four responses were received to the Modification Report Consultation. Three respondents believed the modification should be approved, and one felt it should be rejected.

The respondents that believed the modification should be approved cited that the modification better facilitates SEC Objectives (a), (c) and (g). The rationale given was that the Proposed Solution offers clear instructions for how Users should interpret the “ “ Space response.

One respondent felt that the modification should be rejected as they felt that providing the guidance to Appendix I ‘CH Installation and Maintenance Support Materials’ Table 3 is unnecessary.

One of the respondents that believed the modification should be approved provided further comments that the correct name of the SEC document is ‘SEC Appendix I – CH Installation & Maintenance Support Materials’’. This has been noted by SECAS and the necessary changes have been made. They also commented that it is unclear why an update to the DCC User Guidance was not considered.

# Change Board vote

## Change Board vote

The Change Board voted to **approve** MP106 under Self-Governance.

The vote breakdown is summarised below.

| Change Board vote | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Party Category | Approve | Reject | Abstain | Outcome |
| Large Suppliers | 5 | 1 | 0 | **Approve** |
| Small Suppliers | 2 | 0 | 0 | **Approve** |
| Network Parties | 3 | 0 | 0 | **Approve** |
| Other SEC Parties | 2 | 0 | 0 | **Approve** |
| Consumer Representative | 1 | 0 | 0 | **Approve** |
| Overall outcome: | | | | APPROVE |

## Views against the General SEC Objectives

### Objective (a)[[1]](#footnote-1)

The majority of the Change Board believed that MP106 will better facilitate SEC Objective (a) as the Proposed Solution will allow Suppliers clarity on the WAN Variant response received and therefore allow for the efficient provision, installation and interoperability of smart metering systems at energy Consumers’ premises.

### Objective (c)[[2]](#footnote-2)

One Change Board member believed that MP106 will better facilitate SEC Objective (c) as the Proposed Solution will provide an improved level of information to Service Users by ensuring there is reduced ambiguity relating to Wide Area Network (WAN) Variants.

### Objective (g)[[3]](#footnote-3)

Two Change Board members believed that MP106 will better facilitate SEC Objective (g) as the Proposed Solution allows for more clarity and therefore for the efficient and transparent administration and implementation of the SEC.

## Change Board discussions

SECAS confirmed that the correct acronym for SEC Appendix I ‘Communications Hub Installation and Maintenance Support Materials’ is ‘CHIMSM’ and not ‘CHISM’ which can be found in the SEC Modification documents.

Although the majority of Change Board members voted to approve the MP106, one member voted to reject the modification as they felt that the change was very minor and stated that the issue was small and would likely become irrelevant by the time the modification is implemented. The remaining Change Board members agreed that due to the low implementation cost, the modification should be approved as the Proposed Solution does offer some benefit to Service Users.

SECAS clarified to the Change Board that the DCC will provide further guidance in the DCC User Guidance document, as per the comments in the Modification Report Consultation.

1. Facilitate the efficient provision, installation, operation and interoperability of smart metering systems at energy consumers’ premises within Great Britain. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Facilitate energy consumers’ management of their use of electricity and gas through the provision of appropriate information via smart metering systems. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. Facilitate the efficient and transparent administration and implementation of the SEC. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)