


This document is classified as **White** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Information can be shared with the public, and any members may publish the information, subject to copyright.

MP106 'CHISM update for Unknown WAN Variant' Modification Report Consultation

responses

About this document

This document contains the full collated responses received to the MP106 Modification Report Consultation.



Summary of responses





Question 1: Do you believe that MP106 should be approved?

Question 1			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale
Western Power Distribution	Networks Party	Approve	We believe that the modification will better facilitate SEC Objectives (a) and (g) by giving clear instructions to Users how to interpret the response to the Service Request.
ονο	Large Supplier	Approve	The updated solution, based on the costs of the previous solution for DCC to implement, is far more acceptable and pragmatic.
Scottish Power	Large Supplier	Approve	We believe this MOD will better facilitate Objectives (a) and (c).
EDF	Large Supplier	Reject	EDF do not feel that a minimal clarification in guidance notes to explain that "" Space means Unknown is necessary even if the cost is minimal. Our systems and processes are not impacted.





Question 2: Please provide any further comments you may have

Question 2			
Respondent	Category	Comments	
Western Power Distribution	Networks Party	No comment.	
OVO	Large Supplier	It is unclear why this needs to be included in CHIMSM (SEC Appendix I – CH Installation & Maintenance Support Materials) at all, at a cost, and not in the DCC User Guidance for free is not clear. It must also be noted the Mod report refers to CHIMSM as CHISM or does not include the Materials in some sections. This could cause confusion with SEC Appendix H – CH Handover Support Materials (CHSM).	
Scottish Power	Large Supplier	No comment.	
EDF	Large Supplier	No comment.	

