

This document is classified as **White** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Information can be shared with the public, and any members may publish the information, subject to copyright.

Technical Architecture and Business Architecture

Sub-Committee (TABASC)

TABASC_55_0207, 2 July 2020

09:30 - 16:30 Teleconference

Final Minutes

Attendees:

Category	TABASC Members
TABASC Chair	Julian Hughes
	Stephen Lovell
	Emslie Law
Large Suppliers	Rochelle Harrison
	Alex Hurcombe
	Mark Morrison
	Martin Christie (part)
Small Suppliers	Terry Underwood (part)
	Patrick O'Neill
Electricity Networks	Alan Creighton
Other SEC Parties	Elias Hanna
	Tim Boyle
Representing	Other Participants
	Simon Harrison
	Courtney O'Conner
	Mannu Rawat <i>(part)</i>
	Awnit Kumar <i>(part)</i>
DCC	Phil Handley (part)
Dec	Gary Bailey (part)
	David Walsh (part)
	Chun Chen <i>(part)</i>
	Daire Kelly (part)
	Graeme Liggett (part)





	Basiima Kizito (part)
	Steve Thun (part)
Operations Group Chair	Dave Warner (part)
BEIS	Joe Howard (part)
	Russell Kent-Smith (part)
Ofgem	Jasmine Killen (part)
	Saskia Barker (part)
ELEXON	Kevin Spencer (part)
	Anik Abdullah
	Rebecca Jones
	Kayla Reinhart
	Harry Jones (part)
SECAS	Bradley Baker (part)
	Alison Beard (part)
	Rainer Lischetzki (part)
	Robin Healey (part)
	Abhay Soorya (part)

1. Minutes and Actions Outstanding

The TABASC noted the comments received relating to the minutes of the TABASC54 meeting held on 4 June 2020. The TABASC **APPROVED** the Draft Minutes as written.

Action Reference	Action
TABASC49/03	The DCC to set out how the objectives of simplification and low cost in the Next
TABA3C49/03	Gen programme will be fulfilled and measured.
This action will be	addressed at a future TABASC meeting. Status: OPEN .
TABASC49/04	DCC to provide a detailed strategy and business requirements documents for
	the Next Gen programme for the TABASC to review.
This action will be	addressed at a future TABASC meeting. Status: OPEN .
TABASC50/03	The DCC to provide a report to the TABASC to understand the current reliability
	of the HAN communications to ascertain whether resolving the EUK problem as
	stated would deliver the intended benefits or whether there will still be a problem
	with delivery of critical Alerts.
This action will be addressed at a TABASC future meeting. Status: OPEN .	





Action Reference	Action
TABASC51/05	DCC/SECAS to look at the User buffer in more detail and bring to the TABASC
	how it would be implemented.
-	d an update under agenda item 10. This action is superseded by TABASC55/06 .
Status: CLOSED.	
TABASC51/06	DCC to explore the CR for Telefonica to resolve the AD1 issue, for the alerts lost
	during DCC scheduled maintenance issue.
The DCC provide	d an update under agenda item 10. This action is superseded by TABASC55/06 .
Status: CLOSED.	
	DCC to confirm whether there is a different way of solving the issue of AD1
TABASC51/07	mechanisms for effective AD1 generations, to stop the loss through Telefonica,
	for the alerts lost during DCC scheduled maintenance issue.
The DCC provide	d an update under agenda item 10. This action is superseded by TABASC55/06.
Status: CLOSED.	
	DCC to confirm to the TABASC how the N53 alerts are generated by Devices
TABASC51/08	which drop off the HAN against Devices which do not, for the alerts lost during
	DCC scheduled maintenance issue.
This action will be	addressed at a TABASC future meeting. Status: OPEN .
TABASC52X/05	The DCC to consider the cost impacts to DCC Users for set up and operational costs.
This action will be	addressed at a future TABASC meeting. Status: OPEN .
	SECAS/DCC to reanalyse the MWHHS capacity challenge from a multiple Meter
TABASC53/02	Data Retrieval and DCC User perspective trying to retrieve half hourly data.
SECAS provided	an update under agenda item 2. This action is superseded by TABASC55/04 .
Status: CLOSED.	
	SECAS/DCC to consider the impacts of the Balancing and Settlement Code
TABASC53/03	(BSC) Modification P379 'Multiple Suppliers through Meter Splitting' change on
	MWHHS.
This action will be	addressed at a future TABASC meeting. Status: OPEN .
	SECAS to prepare the TABASC response to the MWHHS consultation and send
TABASC53/04	to the TABASC Chair for review and then onto TABASC Members.
This action will be addressed at a future TABASC meeting. Status: OPEN .	
	The DCC to reassess the CH and Network WAN Chipset and technical options
TABASC53/07	chart and provide the TABASC with a view of what each of the options would
	look like for implementation in 2021/2, in 2028 and in 2033.





Action Reference	Action	
This action will be	addressed at a future TABASC meeting. Status: OPEN .	
TABASC53/08	The DCC to consider other CH WAN Module processor and memory options, including the cost and benefit, and articulate what additional benefit this would give Service Users in real terms.	
This action will be	This action will be addressed at a future TABASC meeting. Status: OPEN .	
TABASC53X/01	The DCC to communicate with Telefonica regarding performance of Mesh statistics for measurement to understand how it works and which Devices are operating on Mesh.	
This action will be	addressed at a future TABASC meeting. Status: OPEN .	
TABASC53X/04	The DCC to facilitate further dialogue about the ability to transition Telefonica CH onto new architecture, and when and how this would be assessed.	
This action will be	addressed at a future TABASC meeting. Status: OPEN .	
TABASC53X/05	The TABASC Chair to contact the SEC Panel Chair recommending a third-party report on the DCC's Network Evolution business case.	
This action will be	addressed at a future TABASC meeting. Status: OPEN .	
TABASC54/01	SECAS to take <u>DP125</u> 'Correcting ESME Variant' to the Working Group and consider the wider use of Service Requests and consider the changes of the Business Processes in the Business Architecture Document.	
SECAS will progre	ess MP125 at the next Working Group meeting on 5 August, to discuss the wider	
use of Service Re	quests and changes of the Business Processes. Status: OPEN .	
TABASC54/02	DCC and SECAS to look at alternative technical solutions to delivery of the requirements for <u>SECMP0024</u> 'Enduring Approach to Communication Hub <u>Firmware Management'</u> .	
SECAS has asked the Proposer if a solution providing an Alert upon Communications Hub (CH) firmware activation, with additional information being made available by SharePoint would suffice.		
The Proposer be	elieves this would be insufficient and would like the current CH firmware	
management processes to be formalised in the SEC.		
SECAS asked the DCC for a breakdown of the cost of Option 2 in the Preliminary Assessment. However, the DCC is unable to provide this as it does not receive a breakdown of individual elements		
from its Service Providers. SECAS is scheduling a meeting with the Proposer and the DCC to		
understand the Proposer's needs to try and identify alternative technical solutions. A TABASC Member raised that there is an ongoing issue whereby the DCC presents a high cost which it is unable to quantify, and as a result TABASC is unable to develop options to reduce costs.		

SECAS advised that it did mention the SharePoint solution to the proposer of the modification, who





Action Reference

Action

explained that their original proposal was a continuation of what the DCC was to provide through hyper-care so they did not want to settle for less than the proposal identified. The TABASC Chair noted that this modification will not progress unless the cost can be justified, and at the moment the cost is so high that it will be challenging to do so. Status: **OPEN**.

TABASC54/03	The DCC to send the Contract Change Requirements Register to SECAS to
	capture known requirements from all Sub-Committees.

SECAS received the Contract Change Requirements Register from the DCC and sent it to the SSC Chair to add any known and/or previously agreed requirements from an SSC perspective. SECAS is also adding the known and/or previously agreed requirements from a TABASC perspective.

The TABASC Chair asked that SECAS coordinate with the OPSG Chair and SECAS lead to work out how best to capture the OPSG known requirements as well. Status: **OPEN**.

TABASC54/04	The DCC to confirm the timeline and the programme plan for TSP procurement
	and where this sits in the network evolution programme.
This action will be addressed at a future TABASC meeting. Status: OPEN .	
TABASC54/05	The DCC to map out TABASC decision points, including what is needed from the
	TABASC and when, for each element of the Network Evolution programme.
This action will be	addressed at a future TABASC meeting. Status: OPEN .
TABASC54/06	SECAS to liaise with the Operations Group requesting they collect anecdotal
TABAGCJ4/00	evidence from Operations Group members of the Arqiva system performance.
This action will be addressed at a future TABASC meeting. Status: OPEN .	
	The DCC to return to the TABASC56 meeting to report on the performance
	against User experience in CSP North for the TABASC to understand if the
TABASC54/07	scalability approach design is true to the modelling provided by the DCC. Note:
TABAGCJ4/07	This action is to consider the capacity model and how it identifies future potential
	capacity and performance issues whereby Ops Group are considering current
	capacity and performance issues.
This action will be	addressed at a future TABASC meeting. Status: OPEN .
	The DCC to consider whether and/or how existing Communications Hubs will be
TABASC54/10	migrated to the new delivery model over time, and how it will manage change to
	both old and new services.
This action will be addressed at a future TABASC meeting. Status: OPEN .	
	The DCC to come back to the TABASC 54X meeting to advise whether there is
TABASC54/11	an overage cost risk which may not be included in the OBC for the CH and
	Network programme.
	1





Action Reference	Action	
This action will be	addressed at a future TABASC meeting. Status: OPEN .	
	The DCC to articulate the cost model in more detail and provide the TABASC	
TABASC54/12	with the makeup of the running cost for option one of the CH and Network	
	programme OBC, at the TABASC 54X meeting.	
This action will be	addressed at a future TABASC meeting. Status: OPEN .	
TABASC54/13	The DCC to define its operating model for the legacy Communications Hub	
TABA3C34/13	estate at the TABASC 54X meeting.	
This action will be	addressed at a future TABASC meeting. Status: OPEN .	
TABASC54X/01	SECAS to confirm whether <u>SECMP0067</u> 'Service Request Traffic Management'	
TABA3C34X/01	would cause a DUIS uplift to version 4.1 or version 5.	
This action will be	addressed at a future TABASC meeting. Status: OPEN .	
	The DCC to track the progress of TABASC feedback item 8 on the CH WAN	
TABASC54X/02	Technology Assessment paper, which relates to the potential risk of delivery	
	slippage for option 3 (LTE Cat 1/2G + LTE Cat M1/2G (Dual Technology).	
This action will be	addressed at a future TABASC meeting. Status: OPEN .	
TABASC54X/03	The DCC to confirm the year that 5G would become possible CH WAN	
140400347/03	technology.	
This action will be	addressed at a future TABASC meeting. Status: OPEN .	
TABASC54X/04	The DCC to provide the TABASC with further clarity regarding PA Consulting's	
TABAGCJ4X/04	capacity modelling, including traffic requirements.	
This action will be	addressed at a future TABASC meeting. Status: OPEN .	
TABASC54X/05	The DCC to revise the Assessment of WAN Technology document and present	
140430347/03	it to the TABASC for final agreement at TABASC meeting 55.	
The DCC provide	d an update under agenda item 12. Status: CLOSED.	
TABASC54X/06	The DCC to share its current draft of the Network Evolution DSP problem	
TABA3C34A/00	statement with the TABASC.	
This action will be	addressed at a future TABASC meeting. Status: OPEN .	
	The DCC to share an early draft of the DSP customer engagement survey with	
TABASC54X/07	the TABASC in advance of wider industry circulation in July to help shape the	
	questions.	
The DCC advised	The DCC advised that it considered this further after the meeting and found that TABASC members	
will have the opportunity to provide comments in their responses to the survey in their capacity as		
customers, as well as providing feedback on relevant documentation as TABASC members. As		





Action Reference	Action
such, the DCC does not intend to bring the draft survey to TABASC. However, the TABASC Chair	
asked the DCC to reconsider this. Status: OPEN.	
TABASC54X/08	The DCC to confirm the Engagement Plan including an indication of what needs
TABA5C54X/08	to be agreed when, for Network Evolution DSP.
This action will be addressed at a future TABASC meeting. Status: OPEN .	
	The DCC to consider changing existing documentation from Red paper
TABASC54X/09	classifications to Amber and ensure the appropriate SEC classification is applied
	in the future.
The DCC advised that it will provide SECAS with all three documents which were originally classified	
as RED as the TABASC 54X meeting but have now been lowered to AMBER. Upon receipt of these	
documents, this action can be closed. Status: OPEN .	

2. Market-Wide Half Hourly Settlements Update (AMBER)

SECAS and the DCC provided the TABASC with an update on the main challenges introduced by Market-Wide Half Hourly Settlements (MWHHS) including the capability for a Supplier to retrieve HH data and the capacity constraints. This item is classified as **AMBER** and is therefore recorded in the Confidential minutes.

The TABASC **NOTED** the update.

3. **TABASC** Terms of Reference Update

SECAS provided the TABASC with suggested areas for enhancement of the TABASC Terms of Reference (ToR). The key areas for consideration are that some of the activities the TABASC currently undertakes are not explicitly included in the scope of the ToR, and that the activities it should undertake in the future need to be considered. SECAS provided the TABASC with its view of additions that could be made to the ToR:

1) Does the TABASC need to review the requirements of Modification Proposals to ensure that they align with the current technical and business architecture? The TABASC does not currently do this, and it is not in the ToR. The group agreed that having the TABASC involved throughout the development of Modification Proposals would be disproportionate, and that any expansion of the TABASC's remit in this area needs to focus on ensuring the integrity of the overall technical and business architecture in relation specific Modification Proposals during the appropriate stage of their development.





- 2) Should the TABASC ensure that the design of Modification Proposals solution has met the problem statement set? The TABASC has done this for a limited number of Modification Proposals in the past, but it is not specifically set out in the ToR. The group considered that there may be benefits to establishing a formal approach to cataloguing requirements and assessing whether they are met in the solutions proposed.
- 3) Should the TABASC assess the cost of any change to understand if the solution is the optimum way of providing it. This is not currently in the ToR but the TABASC has the ability to do this for Modification Proposals. The DCC highlighted that there could be problems with expanding this to include changes to DCC Internal Systems because this could conflict with its licence and TABASC agreed to consider this separately, but noted current involvement in the Network Evolution programme.
- 4) Should the TABASC assess the viability and appropriateness of proposed solutions. The TABASC already assesses the appropriateness of solutions and provides feedback when required. The group considered that there may be some benefit to formalising this function.
- 5) Should the TABASC provide a wider view of design consideration in relation to entire systems? The current ToR specifies that the TABASC will consider the technical and business architectures in their entirety. The DCC raised a concern regarding whether the SEC allows the TABASC to address change across the entire end-to-end system, specifically changes to DCC Internal Systems. The TABASC Chair highlighted that the role and scope of the TABASC in this area would need to be considered carefully to ensure that it is consistent with the wider regulatory framework, but that there could be a role for the group to play in terms of governance and oversight.
- 6) Does the TABASC need to be more specific on Subject Matter Expert (SME) input? The TABASC considered that it would benefit from SME input in regard to areas of interest or the different projects which the TABASC are required to provide feedback on. The discussion was focused on making sure whether the right SME needs to be identified as per the project or where TABASC input is required. The TABASC Chair concluded that Technical Specification Issue Resolution Sub-group (TSIRS) would provide sufficient assistance to satisfy the input required.
- 7) Should the TABASC ensure that any technical risks or solutions are being managed? The group noted that any significant technical changes should be brought to the TABASC for guidance, but the TABASC is not responsible for the management of risks associated with the solution.
- 8) Should any technical change in smart metering come to the TABASC before it can go to the Change Board (CB)? The TABASC Chair highlighted that the current SEC arrangements allow the DCC can undertake any changes to DCC Internal Systems in isolation with the cost

TABASC_55_0207 - Final Minutes



Managed by



justified ex-post during Price Control. A member noted that in the absence of a formal role the TABASC can only make suggestions which the DCC is not bound to consider, but the TABASC is responsible for the integrity of the technical and business architecture.

The TABASC Chair highlighted that the TABASC has taken a more active role in decision making for DCC's Network Evolution project and questioned whether the TABASC should look at formalising this type of activity. A member highlighted that the SEC currently operates on the principle that the development of Modification Proposals is guided in consultation with the whole industry, and that whilst the TABASC clearly has a role in the modification process, it should not unilaterally be able to halt Modification Proposals . The TABASC Chair agreed with the comment and noted that the TABASC should not need to stop a modification but as it carries the responsibility for the operational technical and business architecture, it could have powers to allow it to maintain the integrity of the architectures by providing alternative solutions.

The DCC requested that Modification Proposals and changes to DCC Internal Systems be looked at separately. DCC queried what problem was being addressed by expanding the scope of the TABASC's role to include changes to DCC Internal Systems and requested a broader discussion on this. The TABASC Chair noted the involvement of TABASC in the Network Evolution programme and that was the context for the suggestion. The TABASC Chair and DCC Representative agreed to have a discussion around changes to DCC Internal Systems.

TABASC55/01: TABASC Chair and the DCC Representative to discuss TABASC involvement in changes to DCC Internal Systems.

The TABASC supported formalising the tasks which it currently undertakes and that SECAS should continue to consider if and how the role of the group should be expanded to include oversight of changes to DCC Internal Systems such as those in the Network Evolution Programme.

The TABASC **AGREED** that further consideration should be given to the TABASC taking a stronger governance role when assessing change which has potential to impact the Technical and/or Business architectures.

TABASC55/02: SECAS to provide the TABASC with a proposal for changes to the Terms of Reference to strengthen the governance role of changes that affect the technical and/or business architectures.

4. Sub-Committee Update

Security Sub-Committee (SSC)

The TABASC Chair highlighted that the SSC has been considering Supplier of Last Resort (SoLR) Proposals, mainly around who is allowed to undertake certain tasks when a Supplier fails. The project for SoLR has provided a principle idea that the majority of Small Suppliers have a Share Resource Provider (SRP) which is technically enables messages to be sent on behalf of the outgoing Supplier,

TABASC_55_0207 - Final Minutes





therefore it could keep Pre-Payment customers running if a Supplier fails. The SSC is looking into the Security side of all SoLR proposals and the impact they may have on the trust model.

Secondly, the SSC had previously seen some challenges on the Post Commissioning Report, but with the data now being presented correctly it has highlighted that there is an issue with Post Commissioning obligations not being met in various places. The SSC continue to consider what those issues are and work with the DCC to resolve them. The Chair noted that if any issues are found to be as a result of the requirements of the Technical or Business Architectures, they will be brought to the TABASC.

Smart Metering Key Infrastructure Policy Management Authority (SMKI PMA)

The TABASC Chair noted that the SMKI PMA is also involved in the SoLR discussions.

Operations Group (OPSG)

The OPSG had not met since the last TABASC meeting, therefore there was no update.

Technical Specifications Issue Resolution Subgroup (TSIRS)

SECAS highlighted the following items from the most recent TSIRS meeting:

- The consultation on Device level versioning of SMETS specifications was published on 18 June and it includes sample documents, there will also be a replacement of compatibility matrix with an incompatibility matrix.
- IRPs 618 and 621 were closed. IRP631 has been opened to resolve any discrepancies between critical commands and what is actually stated in the live GBCS document.
- Discussions around HAN stability. The DCC is working on a framework to assess including reviewing incidents and customer defects.
- The process for introducing closed IRPs (both DCC system and non-system impacting) into the technical specifications was looked at. SECAS will now look after this process once TSIRS closes IRPs in future. It is estimated that system impacting IRPs will take 12 months to be implemented into the specifications as compared to 3 months for non-system impacting.
- BEIS presented a spreadsheet on the alerts review. An extraordinary TSIRS meeting was held on 5 June to discuss the proceedings for these alerts.

The TABASC **NOTED** the update.

5. BEIS Update

BEIS advised that the BEIS update in its current form, on upcoming and recent consultations, is developed for SEC Panel meetings which fall 1-2 weeks after the TABASC meeting. Therefore, the TABASC Chair asked BEIS to circulate the slides to SECAS representatives to share with TABASC members at the same time as SEC Panel, and to use the BEIS Update agenda item in TABASC Managed by

TABASC_55_0207 - Final Minutes





meetings to provide a more general update on BEIS activities associated with the Technical Architecture and Business Architecture.

The TABASC NOTED the update.

6. New Draft Proposals and Modification Proposals

SECAS advised that there has been no new Draft Proposals or Modification Proposals raised since the last TABASC meeting, and the updates to existing proposals are noted under agenda items 7 and 8.

The TABASC **NOTED** the update.

7. SECMP0067 'Service Request Traffic Management' Update

SECAS provided the TABASC with an update on <u>SECMP0067</u> 'Service Request Traffic Management' highlighting that Ofgem requested additional clarity points to be added to the business case, which has been added to the Modification Report. This led to questions around User impacts for HTTP429 whereby some User systems would have difficulty implanting HTTP429 closer to the time. SECAS and the DCC discussed two solutions, noted below, which would be of the most ease to Users.

Solution one:

The DCC would link HTTP429 responses to a DCC User Interface Specification (DUIS) version. The DUIS version which Users are using would be linked to the busy response (HTTP429) which would automatically switch from HTTP503 to HTTP429 when Users start using the DUIS version it stated it will use. The disadvantage of this option is that, if Users want to move to HTTP429 early, it would need to move to the specified DUIS version.

Solution two:

The User would notify the DCC manually that they want to receive HTTP429 responses instead of HTTP503. This gives Users an active choice on whether they want to receive HTTP429 responses, the disadvantage to this is that it will require DCC to implement manual processes for the User to request it from the DCC. The DCC advised it would manually configure the change with no explicit link to a new DUIS version, therefore Users could implement new DUIS versions without using HTTP429.

A TABASC Member advised that if the DCC want to urge Users to move forward with HTTP429, it should link it to a DUIS version update, with the best outcome for the DCC being that the latest point is DUIS4.1 but if the User is ready to use HTTP429 earlier, it can be implemented early. The TABASC Chair highlighted that he thought it would be least risk to have the change to be linked to a DUIS version, because if a service User has not tested the HTTP429 response effectively, and then it starts getting the response, there is no guarantee how their system would react.





Although solution 2 provides flexibility it has the potential to cause confusion and risks to service due to there being a separate manual process, not visible technically. Therefore, the TABASC was most supportive of and recommended that solution 1 is implemented, to have the inclusion of HTTP429 associated with the implementation of a DUIS version. SECAS noted the feedback and informed the TABASC that its views would be raised at the next Working Group for consideration prior to it being consulted on.

The TABASC **AGREED** that solution one, which associates the changes to a change in DUIS version, should be progressed.

8. DP131 'Default maximum demand configuration conflict' Solution

SECAS provided the TABASC with the solution for <u>DP131</u> '<u>Default maximum demand configuration</u> conflict' highlighting that the <u>SECMP0018</u> '<u>Standard Electricity Distributor Configuration Settings</u>' solution included the addition of GBCS Table 28d. Some values relating to maximum demand in GBCS Table 28d conflicts with SMETS, GBCS use case definitions, DUIS and Message Mapping Catalogue (MMC). It was originally discussed that GBCS Table 28d be removed altogether, but upon discussion with SECAS technical experts, this would cause further issues as there is information within the table that is necessary. Therefore, the values will be amended to meet with other specifications. The TABASC Chair asked whether this would mean that the same information would be defined in two separate places, to which SECAS advised it would investigate.

TABASC55/03: SECAS to investigate whether the GBCS table 28d being implemented as part of <u>DP131 'Default maximum demand configuration conflict' includes information</u> defined elsewhere, and if so it is removed to avoid duplicated data across multiple sections of the technical specifications.

SECAS noted it is looking to progress DP131 straight to report phase and to include it in the November 2020 Release implementing GBCS v4.0. The TABASC noted its preference for the change to also be applied to the next GBCS3.x version whenever that version is implemented as a result of a material change.

The first version of the draft legal text was provided to the TABASC, which had the original text of the table removed and replaced with what was used in the second instance of the table.

The TABASC **NOTED** the update and asked SECAS to investigate further before a decision can be made.

9. DCC Update

The DCC provided the TABASC with a general update on SMETS1 and the reduction of Alert Volume.





The DCC also advised that internal training is underway on the implementation of Bizz Design Horizon, which is an architectural design tool. Over the coming months the DCC will build out the capability and map it into its process. There will be future capability for externals to access and view the repository. The TABASC Chair asked whether the Bizz Design Horizon model will link to the Business Architecture Module (BAM), to which the DCC advised that this is part of the action list to address.

The TABASC **NOTED** the update.

10. Alert Issues During DCC Outages (AMBER)

The DCC provided the TABASC with an update on maintaining power outage alerts during DCC outages, which detailed the new project set up within the DCC to help resolve all AD1 issues. This item is classified as **AMBER** and is therefore recorded in the Confidential minutes.

The TABASC **NOTED** the update.

11. Phase 2 of the DSP Motorway Cache Issues (AMBER)

The DCC provided the TABASC with an update on phase 2 of the Data Service Provider (DSP) Northbound motorway cache potential issues, whereby options were presented to lower the likelihood of the risk materialising. This item is classified as **AMBER** and is therefore recorded in the Confidential minutes.

The TABASC **NOTED** the update.

12. Communication Hubs WAN Technology Assessment (AMBER)

The DCC presented the TABASC with a summary CH WAN Technology Assessment, which BEIS also presented its view on, including the risks of each option. This item is classified as **AMBER** and is therefore recorded in the Confidential minutes.

The TABASC **NOTED** the update.

13. Business Scenarios (AMBER)

The DCC provided the TABASC with its business scenarios which are the result of the need for structure around the sequencing of Service Requests (SRs) sent to a meter. The governance associated with the business scenarios were also discussed. This item is classified as **AMBER** and is therefore recorded in the confidential minutes.

The TABASC NOTED the update.

14. Any Other Business (AOB)

TABASC_55_0207 - Final Minutes





The DCC provided the TABASC with a set of late slides titled 'Summary of Analysis Mason Assessment of 2G/3G Networks Lifespan & Impact on Smart Meters'. Given the length of the slide pack and the insufficient time given to the TABASC to review, the TABASC was asked to review the slides for the TABASC 55X meeting instead, in order for the TABASC to provide a meaningful discussion.

There were no further items of business and the TABASC Chair closed the meeting.

Items for Information

15. Risk Register and Issues Log (AMBER)

SECAS provided the TABASC with its Risk Register and Issues Log which had no material updates for July.

16. Transitional Governance Update (GREEN)

SECAS provided the TABASC with an update paper setting out an overview of the activities occurring in the transitional governance entities and other the Smart Metering Implementation Programme (SMIP) related meetings and workshops attended by SECAS in the last month for its information. The paper also covers relevant industry publications, responses and consultations.

17. Change Status Report – June 2020

The TABASC was provided with the Change Status Report, which provides information on the progress of SEC Modifications and Releases for its information.

Next Meeting: 23 July 2020 (TABASC55X)

