


This document is classified as **White** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Information can be shared with the public, and any members may publish the information, subject to copyright.

<b>Paper Reference:</b>	<b>OPSG_35_0408_16</b>	Corporate member of Plain English Campaign Committed to clearer communication  
<b>Action:</b>	<b>For Information</b>	

## New Draft Proposals

### 1. Purpose

This paper provides a summary of the new Draft Proposals raised in the last month.

We seek any initial comments the Operations Group (OPSG) may have on these proposals at this stage in the framework, and agreement on which proposals it will want to provide further input on as they progress.

### 2. New Draft Proposals

This table lists the new Draft Proposals raised in the last month. Our recommendations on which ones will be of interest to the OPSG are highlighted in Section 3 below.

Full details of each Draft Proposal can be found in the attached draft Modification Reports.

Draft Proposal	Status
<a href="#">DP136 'Enduring ICHIS Compliance Related to RF'</a>	Development Stage
<a href="#">DP137 'Sharing information on Defects and Issues'</a>	Development Stage
<a href="#">DP138 'DCC Service Testing in ETAD'</a>	Development Stage

### 3. Proposals of interest to the OPSG

#### [MDP136 'Enduring ICHIS Compliance Related to RF'](#)

The Data Communication Company's (DCC's) derogation period for Intimate Communications Hub Interface Specification (ICHIS) Radio Frequency (RF) levels ended in March 2020. A number of electricity meters remain in the supply chain but not installed that are no longer compliant following the end of the derogation, which would make them unusable.

The Proposer believes that the SEC is in the process of supporting innovative changes to allow the re-use of meters. They feel there are also multiple scenarios where a meter, once compliant, should be able to be re-deployed.

The Proposer believes the wider impacts would lead to inefficiency across the supply chain of reported meters installed negative cost-benefit impact on Parties with a large number of meters that have become non-compliant.

#### **DP137 'Sharing information on Defects and Issues'**

Currently, there is no approach allowing Smart Energy Code (SEC) Parties and the DCC to share information on known issues and Devices (except Communications Hubs) except via forums due to the commercially sensitive information surrounding the Devices. Though some Suppliers may have information to support the resolution of some Device issues, there is no accessible means to share such information across the industry. Consequently, the lack of an industry approach to tackling issues and defects posed by Devices and Device Combinations means that issue fixes are slow for the end energy consumer.

This modification intends to develop an approach to share information between SEC Parties relating to issues and defects affecting Devices and Device Model Combinations.

#### **DP138 'DCC Service Testing in ETAD'**

The scope of the 'Enduring Testing Approach Document' (ETAD) was initially to ensure DCC Users had appropriate provisions to undergo the user entry process tests. However, this scope does not include the Testing Services that the DCC offer.

Consequently, the DCC has been working with Device Manufacturers to develop Testing Services. DCC propose that service testing be added to the scope of ETAD and moving forward the ETAD to be aligned accordingly.

### **4. MP122 update**

[MP122 'Operational Metrics'](#), raised by Gemma Slaney of Western Power Distribution, is undergoing the Refinement Process. It seeks to implement the necessary changes to the DCC System to implement and report on the metrics outlined in the business requirements. These requirements were formed from the recommendations made by the Operational Metrics Review.

We held four Working Group meetings across June and July, with lengthy discussions held around clarifying the metrics the DCC is being asked to deliver. Following these meetings, the Working Group has agreed the metrics and the DCC now has the clarity it needs to assess against these. As a result, on Monday 13 July 2020, the Change Board agreed that a DCC Impact Assessment should be requested for this modification. This was requested on the same day.

The DCC is currently carrying out its Impact Assessment for the Proposed Solution. It aims to provide a draft of the Impact Assessment by 5 August, which will include an assessment of the requirements and potential solutions with costings and durations. However, the DCC has advised that this is unlikely to include any information regarding any metrics where it has identified a need for contractual changes relating to data supply from its Service Providers.

The DCC plans to provide a second draft of the Impact Assessment in the week commencing 17 August, to include any information that it gets back from its Service Providers, and to incorporate any review comments from the Working Group.

We will update the draft legal text in parallel with the Impact Assessment to ensure it reflects the metrics within the business requirements.

## 5. Recommendations

The OPSG is requested to:

- **DISCUSS** the new Draft Proposals and provide any initial views and comments; and
- **NOTE** the update on MP122.

Joe Hehir

SECAS Team

28 July 2020

### Attachments

- **Appendix A:** DP136 draft Modification Report
- **Appendix B:** DP137 draft Modification Report
- **Appendix C:** DP138 draft Modification Report