

This document is classified as **White** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Information can be shared with the public, and any members may publish the information, subject to copyright.

MP110 ‘Aligning SEC Appendix D with DCC Processes’

Conclusions Report – version 1.0

About this document

This document summarises the responses received to the Modification Report Consultation and the decision of the Change Board regarding approval or rejection of this modification.

Summary of conclusions

Change Board

The Change Board voted to approve MP110. They believed the Proposal did better facilitate SEC Objective (f)¹

Modification Report Consultation

SECAS received three responses to the Modification Report Consultation. Two believed the modification should be approved and one believed it should be rejected. The respondents in support considered the modification better facilitated SEC Objective (f).

¹ Ensure the protection of data and the security of data and systems in the operation of the SEC.

Modification Report Consultation responses

Summary of responses

Two of the three respondents (both large Suppliers) voted to approve the Modification Proposal and the other respondent (a Network party) voted to reject the Modification Proposal. All three respondents all agreed with the intent of the modification.

One respondent in favour of the change noted it would be an effective compromise to change the processes, set out in SEC Appendix D, to align with the evolved processes as amended by the SMKI PMA. The other respondent who voted to approve stated the modification ensured best practise and better facilitated SEC Objective (f).

The respondent who voted to reject the modification did note they agreed with the intent of the modification. However, they had several minor corrections and clarifications to make, which would appropriately provide better context for the solution provided. A number of typographical errors in the numbering occurred due to the pdf procedure. These were correct in the original word document. In addition, the following amendments and clarifications were made.

Legal text		
Section reference	Comment	Action taken
4.1.3 b	States 'Authority to check' we believe that this should state 'Authority, check'	The 'to' has been removed and a comma inserted
5.1.10	We are not sure that '5.1.10' is accurate as it states if the verification is unsuccessful move to point 5.1.11 which is to add the verified organisation which we believe is incorrect	5.1.10 should read 'successful' and therefore the reference to 5.1.11 is correct. 5.1.10 has been corrected to 'successful'.
5.3.8	Section 5.3.8 states 'and the SRO' and we question if this should be 'an SRO' or if not seek clarification of who is 'the' SRO	To add clarity this has been changed to 'the SRO of the applicant organisation'
5.3.12	Section 5.3.12 states 'by e-mail or via the DCC Service Desk' and we feel that to be consistent with the rest of the document it needs to clarify how the Service Desk should notify the applicant organisation	This has been reworded to include 'updating the relevant ticket'
8.3.2.3	As for 5.3.12	This has been reworded to include 'updating the relevant ticket'

Change Board vote

Change Board vote

The Change Board voted to **approve** MP110 under Self-Governance.

The vote breakdown is summarised below.

Change Board vote				
Party Category	Approve	Reject	Abstain	Outcome
Large Suppliers	5	0	0	Approve
Small Suppliers	1	0	0	Approve
Network Parties	3	0	0	Approve
Other SEC Parties	2	0	0	Approve
Consumer Representative	0	0	0	-
Overall outcome:				APPROVE

The Consumer Representative was not present for the vote.

Views against the General SEC Objectives

Objective (f)²

The Change Board unanimously believed that MP110 will better ensured best practise and facilitate SEC Objective (f) for the reasons given by the Proposer in the Modification Report.

Change Board discussions

The respondent from the Network Party wanted to ensure all minor typographical changes had been taken into consideration. SECAS confirmed they had been following discussion with the Proposer.

² Ensure the protection of data and the security of data and systems in the operation of the SEC.