

This document is classified as **White** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Information can be shared with the public, and any members may publish the information, subject to copyright.



# MP133 'Consequential changes to SEC Section G'

Modification Report

Version 1.0

17 July 2020







### **About this document**

This document is a Modification Report. It currently sets out the background, issue, solution, impacts, costs, implementation approach and progression timetable for this modification, along with any relevant discussions, views and conclusions.

#### **Contents**

1.	Summary	. 3			
2.	Issue	.3			
3.	Solution	. 4			
	Impacts				
	Costs				
	Implementation approach				
7.	Assessment of the proposal	.6			
App	endix 1: Progression timetable	.6			
App	Appendix 2: Glossary7				

This document also has one annex:

• **Annex A** contains the redlined changes to the Smart Energy Code (SEC) required to deliver the Proposed Solution.

#### Contact

If you have any questions on this modification, please contact:

**Harry Jones** 

020 7081 3345

harry.jones@gemserv.com





## 1. Summary

This proposal has been raised by Simon Crouch from Utiligroup.

Currently in the SEC Section G, G1.7 lists exclusions for Export Suppliers and Registered Supplier Agents (RSAs). There it states if a Party is a Data Communications Company (DCC) User who acts in either of those two User Roles, then SEC Section G's obligations don't apply except for the ones listed under Section G1.7. The "Shared Resources" section isn't currently listed under Section G1.7, which means Export Suppliers and RSAs can ignore those obligations whilst not being in breach of the SEC.

The solution is to add the "Shared Resources" Sections G5.25-G5.28 to Section G1.7 so that Export Suppliers and RSAs are still obligated to follow these requirements as per the original intention of SECMP0044 'User Security Assessment of a Shared Resource'.

The costs of this proposal are limited to Smart Energy Code Administrator and Secretariat (SECAS) time and effort to implement the changes. It will have no impacts on SEC Parties due to only adding clarifications from previously approved Modification Proposals and is targeted for the November 2020 SEC Release.

#### 2. Issue

#### What are the current arrangements?

Currently SEC Section G1.7 lists exclusions for Export Suppliers and RSAs. There it states if a Party is a User who acts in either of those two User Roles, then SEC Section G's obligations don't apply except for the ones listed under Section G1.7.

<u>SECMP0044 'User Security Assessment of a Shared Resource'</u> implemented changes to SEC Section G which had impacts on Export Suppliers and RSAs.

#### What is the issue?

With the existing arrangements, Export Suppliers and RSAs are not obligated to follow the full list of changes made under SECMP0044. As a result, the "Shared Resources" section in SEC Section G which covers Sections G5.25-G5.28 are not compulsory for Export Suppliers and RSAs to follow.

#### What is the impact this is having?

The impact of this is that the "Shared Resources" obligations do not apply for Export Suppliers and RSAs. By not explicitly including these sections in the SECMP0044 legal text, the SEC allows this to continue until those entries are added to the list in Section G1.7.





#### 3. Solution

#### **Proposed Solution**

The Proposed Solution is to make a consequential change to SEC Section G. By adding the "Shared Resources" Sections G5.25-G5.28 to Section G1.7, the Export Suppliers and RSAs will be obligated to follow these requirements.

Without this, the SEC currently reads as if Export Suppliers and RSAs are exempt from following the obligations set out through Sections G5.25-G5.28.

The redlined legal text to deliver this consequential change can be found in Annex A.

## 4. Impacts

This section summarises the impacts that would arise from the implementation of this modification.

#### **SEC Parties**

SEC Party Categories impacted				
Large Suppliers		Small Suppliers		
Electricity Network Operators		Gas Network Operators		
Other SEC Parties		DCC		

No SEC Parties will be impacted by the proposal, as it will only deliver a clarification to the solution implemented through SECMP0044.

#### **DCC System**

There are no impacts to the DCC Systems.

#### **SEC** and subsidiary documents

The following parts of the SEC will be impacted:

Section G 'Security'

The changes to the SEC required to deliver the Proposed Solution can be found in Annex A.

#### **Consumers**

There are no impacts to consumers.





#### **Other industry Codes**

There are no impacts on other industry Codes.

#### Greenhouse gas emissions

There are no impacts on greenhouse gas emissions.

#### 5. Costs

#### **DCC** costs

There are no DCC costs associated with this proposal.

#### **SECAS** costs

The SECAS costs to implement this modification is two days of effort amounting to approximately £1,200. The activities needed to be undertaken for this are:

Updating the SEC and releasing the new version to the industry.

#### **SEC Party costs**

There will be no cost to SEC Parties.

## 6. Implementation approach

#### Agreed implementation approach

The Panel agreed an implementation date of:

- 5 November 2020 (November 2020 SEC Release) if a decision to approve is received on or before 22 October 2020; or
- 25 February 2021 (February 2021 SEC Release) if a decision to approve is received after 22 October 2020 but on or before 11 February 2021.

The Panel has already baselined the scope of the November 2020 SEC Release, but can choose to add further modifications by exception if it is deemed either necessary or efficient to do so.

The Proposed Solution should be implemented as soon as is reasonably possible to add the clarification. This will ensure that Export Suppliers and RSAs are not exempt from these obligations. It could be deferred to the February 2021 SEC Release, but given the minor but important change to the SEC it would deliver, SECAS recommends it be included in the November 2020 SEC Release.





## 7. Assessment of the proposal

#### Observations on the issue

The Change Sub Committee (CSC) agreed that this proposal should progress from a Draft Proposal to a Modification Proposal and be issued straight to a Modification Report Consultation. No other comments were raised in the meeting.

No other comments from industry have been received on the issue highlighted by this proposal.

#### **Views against the General SEC Objectives**

#### Proposer's views

The Proposer believes the proposal better facilitates General SEC Objective (g)<sup>1</sup>. This is due to aligning the SEC to the technical requirements of solutions and ensuring the two are identical.

#### **Panel views**

The Panel agreed to convert the Draft Proposal to a Modification Proposal with no further comment. They agreed it should progress straight to report and that it should proceed under Self Governance.

# **Appendix 1: Progression timetable**

After being presented to the Panel on 17 July 2020 to be converted to a Modification Proposal and proceed directly to the Report Phase, it will now be issued for Modification Report Consultation. SECAS recommended this proposal be progressed as a Self-Governance Proposal.

Timetable				
Event/Action	Date			
Draft Proposal raised	22 May 2020			
Presented to CSC for initial comment and recommendations	30 Jun 2020			
Panel converts Draft Proposal to Modification Proposal	17 Jul 2020			
Modification Report approved by Panel	17 Jul 2020			
Modification Report Consultation	20 Jul – 7 Aug 2020			
Change Board vote	26 Aug 2020			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> (g) To facilitate the efficient and transparent administration and implementation of the SEC.





# **Appendix 2: Glossary**

This table lists all the acronyms used in this document and the full term they are an abbreviation for.

Glossary				
Acronym	Full term			
CSC	Change Sub-Committee			
DCC	Data Communications Company			
RSA	Registered Supplier Agent			
SEC	Smart Energy Code			
SECAS	Smart Energy Code Administrator and Secretariat			

