

This document is classified as **White** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Information can be shared with the public, and any members may publish the information, subject to copyright.



# DP119 'CH Alert Storm Consolidation'

## Modification Report Version 0.2



## About this document

---

This document is a draft Modification Report. It currently sets out the background, issue, and progression timetable for this modification, along with any relevant discussions, views and conclusions. This document will be updated as this modification progresses.

## Contents

---

1. Summary.....	3
2. Issue.....	4
3. Assessment of the proposal .....	6
Appendix 1: Progression timetable .....	7
Appendix 2: Glossary .....	8

## Contact

---

If you have any questions on this modification, please contact:

**Bradley Baker**

020 7770 6597

[bradley.baker@gemserv.com](mailto:bradley.baker@gemserv.com)

## 1. Summary

---

This proposal has been raised by Graeme Liggett from the Data Communications Company (DCC).

This proposal identifies the need to address Device Alert Storms at the Home Area Network (HAN) and Communications Hub (CH) level. With the increasing number of Devices connected to the DCC System, and with these Devices creating more Alerts than originally envisaged, Device Alert Storms are on the rise. As a result, protection is needed by the Communication Service Providers (CSPs) to ensure an efficient service continues to be delivered.

## 2. Issue

---

### What are the current arrangements?

The number of Devices connected to the DCC System is increasing on a daily basis. The DCC has found that Devices can generate the same Alert at a higher rate than initially intended. This is referred to as a Device Alert Storm.

To mitigate against Device Alert Storms an Alert Storm Protection mechanism was proposed for implementation within the Data Service Provider (DSP) solution that would detect these Device Alert Storms. The identified alerts would be subject to consolidation resulting in a substantial reduction in the number of alerts being processed and delivered to Service Users. This is being progressed under [SECMP0062 'Northbound Application Traffic – Alert Storm Protection'](#).

### What is the issue?

As the number of Devices connected to the DCC System has increased, it has become apparent that Devices can enter a state whereby they repeatedly generate the same Alert at a higher rate than intended.

Device Alert Storms are already generating large numbers of Alerts which are impacting network, DSP and Service User capacity, and with the Smart Meter Roll-out, the number of Alert Storms is set to increase.

The CSP North (Arqiva) have proposed changes to HAN Devices and CHs to provide full protection. These proposals have been presented at industry forums. The proposed changes would “localise” the impact of Alerts to the HAN and CHs. Changes to the behaviour of HAN Devices are anticipated to require additional investigation and would take an extended period to be implemented. Changes to CHs is thought to be a quicker path, providing protection whilst Device manufacturers implement a mechanism and would continue to provide protection against Alert Storms.

This proposal would look to make changes to the CHs to solve the problem for the DSP, Smart Metering Technical Specification (SMETS) 2 Communication Service Providers (CSPs), and SMETS2 CHs.

### What is the impact this is having?

#### Supporting information regarding Device Alert Storm Volumes

- In December 2019 Device Alerts accounted for approximately 90% of service traffic. Device Alerts totalled 43 million per day and Service Requests reached four million.
- 8F3E Alerts accounted for 80% of the total service traffic in December 2019.
- On 31 December 2019, 43 million Device Alerts were carried from approximately four million meters. ISFT<sup>1</sup> contracted volumes are for 60 million Devices Alerts a month across 52 million meters.

---

<sup>1</sup> As part of ISFT (Invitation to Submit Final Tender), the Department of Energy and Climate Change (DECC) provided message volume profiles to allow respondents to size their systems.

- Month-on-Month Device Alerts:

Device Alert Storm Volumes		
	November 2019	December 2019
Device Alerts	Approx. 1 billion	1.3 billion (30% increase)

This implies a doubling of service traffic every three months, if the current rate of increase continues.

- Once at scale, approximately 10 billion Device Alerts will need to be supported if the current rate of generation continues on a per meter basis. This compares to the expected three billion Service Requests at scale.

\*This information was presented by the DCC to the Operations Group (OPSG) during a meeting ([OPSG 29\\_0402\\_08](#)) relating to Alert volumes and potential and is based on analysis of the latest data from December 2019.

### 3. Assessment of the proposal

---

#### Observations on the issue

The proposal has been presented to the Smart Energy Code (SEC) Sub-Committees who are happy to see the proposal progress into the Refinement Process. No further comments were received at this stage.

The proposal was taken to the Change Sub-Committee (CSC) for recommendation that it is converted into a Modification Proposal and enter the Refinement Process. The proposal was discussed at length as Alerts are deemed as a high-priority issue by the industry. A CSC member stated that there needs to be a considered approach towards Alerts as there are several modifications raised to address specific issues, and the DCC needs to ensure all parts of the ecosystem are in alignment.

The DCC noted the intent of this modification is to resolve the CH issue, and it wanted to resolve this as quickly as possible. The mechanism for any solution is intended to be configurable using the solution and the parameters agreed under SECMP0062. However, it acknowledged the need for the issue to be looked at holistically. It was agreed that there should be an overall view of the issues surrounding Alerts and the DCC suggested that this could be included in the scope of the modification once in the Refinement Process.

## Appendix 1: Progression timetable

This Draft Proposal will be taken to the next Panel meeting on 17 April 2020 for conversion to a Modification Proposal and to enter the Refinement Process. The Smart Energy Code Administrator and Secretariat (SECAS) will then work with the DCC to develop and assess a straw man solution for discussion at the Working Group. SECAS will update Panel on progress in August 2020.

Timetable	
Action	Date
Draft Proposal raised	10 Mar 2020
Presented to CSC for comment and recommendations	31 Mar 2020
Panel converts Draft Proposal to Modification Proposal	17 Apr 2020
Business requirements developed with DCC	20 Apr – 29 May 2020
Business requirements discussed with Sub-Committees	Jun 2020
Preliminary Assessment requested	1 Jul 2020
Preliminary Assessment returned	16 Aug 2020
Modification discussed with Working Group	2 Sep 2020
Update Panel	11 Sep 2020

## Appendix 2: Glossary

This table lists all the acronyms used in this document and the full term they are an abbreviation for.

Glossary	
Acronym	Full term
CH	Communications Hub
CSP	Communication Service Provider
DCC	Data Communications Company
DECC	Department of Energy and Climate Change
DSP	Data Service Provider
GBCS	Great Britain Companion Specification
HAN	Home Area Network
ISFT	Invitation to Submit Final Tender
OPSG	Operations Group
SEC	Smart Energy Code
SECAS	Smart Energy Code Administrator and Secretariat
SMETS	Smart Metering Equipment Technical Specification