

This document is classified as **White** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Information can be shared with the public, and any members may publish the information, subject to copyright.

MP101 ‘Large Gas Meter Displays’ Refinement Consultation responses

About this document

This document contains the full collated responses received to the MP101 Refinement Consultation.

Question 1: Do you agree with the solution put forward?

Question 1			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale
EDF	Large Supplier	No	<p>We agree that Large Gas Smart Meters should not be subject to the display requirements currently set out in SMETS.</p> <p>We would question whether the right approach would be to exempt these meters from the display requirements entirely, given the potential impacts on consumers and on industry processes that drove the original change in SECMP0006. In order to ensure a consistent approach and minimise consumer confusion we think it would it potentially make sense to specify an alternative display requirement for Large Gas Smart Meters, rather than relieving them from these obligations entirely.</p>

Question 2: Will there be any impact on your organisation to implement MP101?

Question 2			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale
EDF	Large Supplier	Yes	We will need to make sure that any Large Gas Smart Meters that we might procure and install are compliant with the display requirements in the MID rather than any specification within SMETS. For consistency with other smart meters we would prefer for an appropriate display requirement for Large Gas Smart Meters to be set out in SMETS.

Question 3: Will your organisation incur any costs in implementing MP101?

Question 3			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale
EDF	Large Supplier	No	We would not expect to incur any direct costs as a result of this change being made; we do not see this change as having a material impact on the cost of devices that will be compliant with the new version of SMETS.

Question 4: Do you believe that MP101 would better facilitate the General SEC Objectives?

Question 4			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale
EDF	Large Supplier	Yes	<p>We agree that MP101 would better facilitate Sec Objective (a) by ensuring that Large Gas Smart Meters can be installed that are compliant with both SMETS and the MID.</p> <p>We believe that this change is neutral against the other SEC Objectives.</p>

Question 5: Noting the costs and benefits of this modification, do you believe MP101 should be approved?

Question 5			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale
EDF	Large Supplier	Yes	We believe that a change should be made, but as noted in our response to question 1 we wonder whether this is quite the right change.

Question 6: How long from the point of approval would your organisation need to implement MP101?

Question 6			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale
EDF	Large Supplier	3 months	

Question 7: Do you agree with the proposed implementation approach?

Question 7			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale
EDF	Large Supplier	Yes	

Question 8: Do you agree that the legal text will deliver MP101?

Question 8			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale
EDF	Large Supplier	Yes	As noted in our response to question we believe that an alternative approach could be taken and that specific display requirements could be defined for Large Gas Smart Meters, rather than exempting them from these obligations.

Question 9: Please provide any further comments you may have

Question 9		
Respondent	Category	Comments
EDF	Large Supplier	