


This document is classified as **White** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Information can be shared with the public, and any members may publish the information, subject to copyright.

MP084 ‘Other User Panel Seating Amendment’ Modification Report Consultation responses

About this document

This document contains the full collated responses received to the MP084 Modification Report Consultation.

Summary of responses



Question 1: Do you believe that MP084 should be approved?

Question 1			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale
EDF Energy	Large Supplier	Approve	MP084 would better facilitate Objective (g) as it would ensure that Other Users are appropriately represented on the SEC Panel.
GLOBAL-365 PLC	Other SEC Party	Reject the Proposed Solution but approve the Alternative Solution	There is a need for there to be 2 Other SEC Party representatives that are not Other Users
Foresight Metering Limited	Other SEC Party	Approve	The Other SEC Party category hosts a wide variety of companies; most of these are not users of DCC Services directly but do support the rollout of SMETS2 meters. They include Meter Operators, Meter Asset Providers, Meter/Device Manufacturers, Comparison sites, software providers, consultancies, etc. All these parties are impacted by the decisions made at SEC Panel and at the wider forums. Whilst their interests are varied, these parties want to see a successful SMETS2 rollout.
Honeywell	Other SEC Party	Approve	Honeywell believe there is a requirement for further Other User representation

Question 2: If MP084 is approved, which solution do you believe should be implemented?

Question 2			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale
EDF Energy	Large Supplier	Proposed Solution	Both solutions will ensure that Other Users are represented on the Panel which is the main intent of this change, and so would be acceptable. We prefer the Proposed Solution as the main function of the SEC is to act as a bilateral agreement between the DCC and the Users of its services. The Alternative Solution would seem to give disproportionate representation to SEC Parties that are not DCC Users, noting that these Parties are able to actively engage with SEC governance through other routes, such as the Sub-Committees.
GLOBAL-365 PLC	Other SEC Party	Alternative Solution	There is a need for there to be 2 Other SEC Party representatives that are not Other Users
Foresight Metering Limited	Other SEC Party	Alternative Solution	Due to the volume of SEC Parties in the Other User role Foresight Metering feel that the alternative solution allows for many of the varied parties to be represented at SEC Panel along side the Other Users of the DCC systems.
Honeywell	Other SEC Party	Alternative Solution	Honeywell believe that splitting the seats dilutes the representation and effect of that representation. By adding a further seat that representation will be better balanced.

Question 3: Please provide any further comments you may have

Question 3		
Respondent	Category	Comments
EDF Energy	Large Supplier	
GLOBAL-365 PLC	Other SEC Party	The solution is to increase the number of seats for Other SEC Parties to 3 with 2 to be held by Other SEC Parties that are not Other Users and 1 to be held by an Other Sec Party that is an Other User
Foresight Metering Limited	Other SEC Party	It is good to continually review the make up of SEC Panel and the SEC Forums to ensure in a changing industry that they remain balanced.
Honeywell	Other SEC Party	