


This document is classified as **White** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Information can be shared with the public, and any members may publish the information, subject to copyright.

MP089 ‘Changes to Appendix R ‘Common Testing Scenarios Document’ Modification Report Consultation responses

About this document

This document contains the full collated responses received to the MP089 Modification Report Consultation.

Summary of responses



Question 1: Do you believe that MP089 should be approved?

Question 1			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale
EDF Energy	Large Supplier	Approve	We agree that MP089 will better facilitate SEC Objective (a) as it will ensure that the new capability in the November 2019 release will only be operated by Users who have carried out the required level of testing.
Western Power Distribution	Networks Party	Approve	We believe that this modification should be approved as it is appropriate for Users to be mandated to test the additional SRVs. It also corrects an error that required Electricity Network Operators to test a SMETS2+ SRV against SMETS1 devices. We believe that this modification better facilitates SEC Objective (a) by ensuring that Users are able to operate SMETS devices successfully.
E.ON	Large Supplier	Approve	Appendix R should be aligned to User Roles for Test purpose.

Question 2: Please provide any further comments you may have

Question 2		
Respondent	Category	Comments
EDF Energy	Large Supplier	While we agree with this change we would question whether updating the CTSD for each new release is an efficient way of managing this sort of testing, and whether a generic approach could be taken that requires Users to test new SRV before they are used without specifying what they are for each new SEC Release.
Western Power Distribution	Networks Party	We just have one comment on the legal text, Table 8.1.10 shows the 'Count of Mandatory' as being amended from 16 to 12. Although we agree that the total of the row is 12, it is unclear what has happened as the legal text is only showing the addition of two Mandatory SMETS2 rows. Was the '16' incorrect to begin with?
E.ON	Large Supplier	No comment