

This document is classified as **White** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Information can be shared with the public, and any members may publish the information, subject to copyright.

## **MP083 ‘Change Coordination’**

### **November 2019 Working Group Meeting**

### **Meeting summary**

#### **Recap of the issue**

The Working Group meeting for [MP083 ‘Change Coordination’](#) began with an overview of what issue was identified in the Development Stage of this Proposal. This outlined that DCC User system changes can negatively impact the DCC Systems, and there are already such scenarios where this is already occurring. The DCC have no view of planned User changes, and therefore cannot foresee when or how these changes may impact the DCC Systems. Furthermore, it was clarified that this Proposal is for risk mitigation purposes and not DCC System resilience, and that the DCC were not trying to dictate when Users can make changes.

#### **Discussion of business requirements**

The draft business requirements were presented to the Working Group and opened up for discussion. SECAS asked for feedback on two specific requirements (of four) that the Proposer wished to develop further with the Working Group.

#### **Requirement 1: DCC Users will provide the DCC with details of planned changes to their systems**

Within Requirement 1, the Proposer expressed that they would like to create an obligatory minimum period of when DCC Users must provide notice to the DCC of planned changes to their systems. An initial placeholder of 20 Working Days was used, however the Proposer wished to discuss with the Working Group what would be a realistic timeframe. Discussions turned to if this should be a recommended period rather than an obligation. Working Group members stated that there are times when changes may be needed at short notice and that they did not want to be in breach of the SEC in such scenarios. Additionally, there may be planned changes for a set date, however, there may be scenarios whereas this date is approached, it may need to be pushed back further. It was also suggested by the Working Group that information that was to be provided could be at a higher level such as the time and date of when Users plan to close their gateway to make changes, not the specific details of the changes being made. The DCC agreed that this should be sufficient to take action to for risk mitigation.

#### **Requirement 4: DCC will store data securely**

The Proposer has left this as a placeholder requirement where they are investigating internally on the capabilities and requirements surrounding storing this data and the security precautions that must be taken. They sought any initial comments that the Working Group attendees may have had and

highlighted that they may see input also from the SSC. The Working Group had no initial comments at the time. SECAS urged them to email in offline if they had any comments after the Working Group.

## Operations Group SSI crossover

The Operations Group (OPSG) discussed Service Improvement Proposals (SIPs) that will make changes to the Self-Service Interface (SSI) that will relate to MP083. The OPSG want to ensure that these SSI changes are not duplicated the solution to MP083. As this is the case, there likely will not be a DCC System change associated with MP083. As the Working Group wish to explore the User notification to the DCC is a recommendation rather than an obligation, and therefore queried if this is required to be a SEC modification. There were suggestions that this change may be best as a guidance document, external to the SEC.

## Next steps and further actions

The following actions were recorded from the meeting:

- SECAS will discuss with the Proposer the comments received from the Working Group and how the business requirements should be adapted. These requirements will then return to the Working Group to be discussed.