

This document is classified as **White** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Information can be shared with the public and any Members may publish the information, subject to copyright.

**SEC Change Sub-Committee Meeting 06\_2708**  
**27 August 2019, 10:30 – 11:30**  
**Gemserv, 8 Fenchurch Street, London, EC3M 4AJ**

## Final Minutes

### Attendees:

Category	Change Sub-Committee Members
Change Sub-Committee Chair	David Kemp
Large Suppliers	Paul Saker ( <i>teleconference</i> )
	Simon Trivella ( <i>teleconference</i> )
Small Suppliers	Chris Brown ( <i>teleconference</i> )
Consumers	Ed Rees ( <i>teleconference</i> )

Representing	Other Participants
DCC	Mari Toda
	Tom Rothery ( <i>teleconference</i> )
	Helen Metcalfe ( <i>teleconference</i> )
SECAS	Veronica Asantewaa ( <i>Meeting Secretary</i> )
	Harry Jones ( <i>teleconference</i> )
	Jordan Crase ( <i>teleconference</i> )
	Joe Hehir
	Bradley Baker

### Apologies:

Category	Change Sub-Committee Members
Other SEC Parties	Elias Hanna

## 1. Welcomes and introductions

The Chair welcomed Members to the sixth Change Sub-Committee (CSC) meeting.

## 2. DP079 'Provisions for withdrawing modifications' Draft Proposal decision

The CSC considered the problem statement for [DP079 'Provisions for withdrawing modifications'](#).

A Large Supplier noted that some assurance is needed on how DP079 will be used and that the reasons for using any new powers are well defined within the solution.

No further comments were made and the CSC:

- **AGREED** that the issue identified under DP079 is clearly defined and understood;
- **AGREED** to recommend to the Panel that this Draft Proposal is ready to be converted to a Modification Proposal; and
- **AGREED** to recommend to the Panel that the Modification Proposal should proceed to the Refinement Process.

## 3. New Draft Proposals

The Change Sub-Committee **PROVIDED** initial views and comments on the following new Draft Proposals raised since the last meeting:

### DP080 'Provisions for withdrawing modifications'

The CSC considered the problem statement for [DP080 'Ensuring a managed move to DUIS version 3.0'](#).

A member noted that the obligation for Suppliers to move to DCC User Interface Specification (DUIS) version 3.0 had been raised previously. In future all Suppliers will be required to take all reasonable steps to move to the new version, due to their license obligations, and therefore a modification may not be necessary. The member advised that focusing the business case on removing costs associated with additional regression testing would be stronger than focusing on the obligation. They felt that if Suppliers are not able to upgrade to the new version of DUIS as per their obligations, then this modification will be needed.

The DCC outlined the benefits of this modification noting that it will help identify any SMETS1 Suppliers who are not using DUIS version 3.0 and enable a smooth transition to the new version via a road map.

A member noted that following discussions with some Network Adapters, their customers do not appear to be on board with DP080. Another member noted that while information from larger Parties on their upgrade plans has been received, it is very difficult to get the same information from smaller Parties. The Chair suggested that SECAS work with the DCC and noted that a Request for Information (RFI) will be issued for comments on this modification.

### DP081 'Provisions for withdrawing modifications'

The CSC considered the problem statement for [DP081 'Alignment of DUIS and CHISM to reflect current DCC Processing'](#).

A member questioned when this would be implemented and what version of DUIS will be updated. The DCC confirmed that this would apply to all versions and would be text changes rather than

specification changes. The member advised that this approach will need clarifying through the modification.

#### **DP082 'Provisions for withdrawing modifications'**

The CSC considered the problem statement for [DP082 'Alt HAN Channel Selection'](#). Noting that this proposal will likely have large impacts on the SEC Technical Specifications, a member advised that should a Modification Proposal be required it would be relatively expensive to develop and implement a solution.

A member asked whether the Alt HAN Forum had been consulted with prior to this Draft Proposal being raised. They noted [SECMP0012 'Channel selection to support Shared HAN solutions'](#) which is seemingly being led solely by the Proposer, and questioned whether the case was similar with this proposal. They were concerned whether this would be a niche change and wanted reassurance from the Supplier community that a solution is needed and would be worth the costs.

SECAS advised they will seek the views of the Alt HAN Forum to establish how significant the issue is and whether other Parties on the forum feel the need for it. This will be used to form a business case to help the CSC make a recommendation to the Panel

#### **DP083 'Change Coordination'**

The CSC Members noted new Draft Proposal [DP083 'Change Coordination'](#) raised after the meeting papers had been issued. The Chair welcomed any initial comments and requested Members to provide any further comments offline.

One member asked whether DP083 had any relationship with the ecosystem management framework. The DCC confirmed this was the case, and that they are looking to translate elements into tangible outputs. The member queried what the obligations would be. They could see why this proposal had been raised though and saw the benefits of visibility of changes to the DCC Systems.

Another member asked what 'User change' meant. They noted the earlier conversation around moving to DUIS version 3.0, and asked if the Supplier moved version would they have to notify the DCC. They hadn't interpreted the problem statement as an obligation.

#### **4. Any Other Business (AOB)**

No further business was raised and the Chair closed the meeting

**Next Meeting:** 24 September 2019