

DCC reassessment of rejected or withdrawn Modification Proposals



Change Review and Cost Benchmarking report

- Baringa Partners LLP were engaged to undertake a review and benchmarking exercise of the drivers of cost associated with the delivery of SEC Modifications and BEIS mandates changes into the Smart Metering ecosystem.
- The report has been delivered, and the DCC has provided a Management Response (see Agenda item 14 from [SEC Panel Meeting 69](#)).
- DCC will deliver an Action Plan to respond to the key areas where improvements were identified (below), and report to SEC Panel quarterly:
 - Requirements Management
 - Release Planning
 - Testing Approach and Cost Breakdown across delivery lifecycle
 - Test Automation
 - Test Coverage
 - Impact Assessment and Commercial Structures

SECP62/06 – The DCC to review Modification Proposals that have previously been rejected due to costs as part of its benchmarking study

- Early in the development of the Baringa Report, SEC Panel recorded the above action.
- The study did not include a review of specific rejected Modification Proposals in its scope.
- SECAS has provided a list of rejected/withdrawn MPs (Appendix A).
- Some of these rejections date back to 2017, and all of them before the DCC In Life Change team was established. DCC considers that due to the maturity of processes and improvements already implemented, new Impact Assessments would likely be needed to assess the current indicative costs.
- The Change Sub Committee are asked to:
 - Consider each of the Modification Proposals in Appendix A, and the rationale for Rejection/Withdrawal;
 - Recommend to Panel which, if any, of the Modification Proposals should proceed to a new Impact Assessment; and
 - For any Modification Proposal that it agrees should be reassessed, confirm that there is a willing Proposer.