


This document is classified as **White** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Information can be shared with the public, and any members may publish the information, subject to copyright.

MP073 ‘Alteration of SMKI Repository information and documentation’ Modification Report Consultation responses

About this document

This document contains the full collated responses received to the MP073 Modification Report Consultation.

Summary of responses



Question 1: Do you believe that MP073 should be approved?

Question 1			
Respondent	Category	Response	Rationale
Scottish and Southern Electricity Networks	Networks Party	Approve	SSEN support the wording changes to remove any ambiguity in these sections to assist in supporting the overall SEC objectives of section L relating to the SMKI repository.
SMS Plc (PFH004)	Other SEC Party	Approve	-
EDF Energy	Large Supplier	Approve	<p>We agree that this change better facilitates SEC Objective (f) as it will ensure that the information in the SMKI Repository is accurate and can be relied upon by SEC Parties.</p> <p>We believe that this change is neutral against the other SEC Objectives, and feel that it is unnecessary to claim wide-reaching and frankly tenuous benefits for a straightforward change that has such clear benefits against the most relevant SEC Objective.</p>
ScottishPower Energy Retail Ltd	Large Supplier	Approve	<p>We broadly think that Objective (f) would be satisfied, in that implementation of SECMP073 would help ensure the protection of Data and the security of Data and Systems in the operation of the SEC.</p> <p>While it would seem that the basis for this change was that the DCC simply failed to properly interpret the legal text as it stood, we are not persuaded that the repository information should never be subject to amendment and agree that the SMKI PMA should act as arbitrator in such cases. Perhaps criteria should be established to assist the SMKI PMA in such decisions.</p>

Question 2: Please provide any further comments you may have

Question 2		
Respondent	Category	Comments
Scottish and Southern Electricity Networks	Networks Party	N/A
SMS Plc (PFH004)	Other SEC Party	N/A
EDF Energy	Large Supplier	We remain concerned by the changes to the legal text and do not believe that the change included in clause L5.6 is necessary, and that it actually makes the intent of the legal text less clear. However, we would not regard this as a showstopper if this text were not to be changed; we just believe it to be sub-optimal as it stands.
ScottishPower Energy Retail Ltd	Large Supplier	We do not agree with the tenuous linking of this Mod to all other SEC Objectives; it sets an unwelcome precedent.