

This document is classified as **White** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Information can be shared with the public, and any members may publish the information, subject to copyright.

Technical Architecture and Business Architecture Sub-Committee (TABASC) Meeting 92 Headlines on 3 August 2023

At each meeting, the TABASC agrees the previous month's minutes and reviews the actions outstanding (TABASC_92_0308_01).

The following items were also discussed:

- The DCC returned to TABASC with an update on its progress with the Data Service Provider (DSP) Data Systems Engagement Approach and its list of artefacts for closure laid out in the investigative Stage 1 of the SEC (Smart Energy Code) Panel Programme Assurance Policy (PAP). The TABASC **REQUESTED** that the DCC re-present an updated plan reflecting discussions before the next TABASC meeting. The TABASC **NOTED** the update (TABASC_92_0308_02).
- The DCC returned to TABASC with an update on its progress on the Testing Automation Framework (TAF) and presented a high-level architecture overview of its main components of the TAF solution. The TABASC **NOTED** the update (TABASC_92_0308_03).
- The DCC presented a verbal update regarding its change in representation at TABASC meetings. TABASC members also **QUERIED** why an update regarding the DSP timeout configuration changes had not been presented at the TABASC to seek endorsement before going to the Operations Group (OPSG). The TABASC **NOTED** the update (TABASC_92_0308_04).
- SECAS presented an update on MP085B Synchronisation of Voltage Measurement Periods (Meters currently installed). The TABASC gave preference to the proposition of end dating the Electricity Smart Metering Equipment Technical Specification (ESMETS) Maintenance Validity Period (MVP) to facilitate a general progression to later versions of the specifications. The TABASC **NOTED** the update (TABASC_92_0308_07).
- SECAS presented an update on MP165 ESME (Electricity Smart Metering Equipment) Voltage Accuracy and its proposal to codify an agreed level of accuracy in ESMETS which would allow SEC Parties to know how accurate voltage performance of ESME Devices are. The TABASC discussed that ESMETS does not include any accuracy requirements and as such would not be appropriate to include this requirement. However, the Measuring Instruments Directive (MID) does contain measurement requirements and as such this may be a more appropriate home for this requirement. The TABASC **NOTED** the update (TABASC_92_0308_08).