



Smart Energy Code

Change Board vote outcome: SECMP0021 'Increase the representation of the "Other SEC Party" category on the SSC and TABASC'

This document is classified as **White** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Information can be shared with the public and any members may publish the information, subject to copyright.

This document contains the detailed views of each Change Board Member against SECMP0021. The views are grouped by Party Category.

A summary of the vote is contained within section 9 of the SECMP0021 Final Modification Report.

If you would like any further information, or if you have any questions, please don't hesitate to contact **David Barber** on **020 7090 7755** or at **secas@gemserv.com**.

Contents

1.	Views of Large Supplier Members	2
2.	Views of Small Supplier Members	3
3.	Views of Network Party Members	3
4.	Views of Other SEC Party Members	4
5.	Views of Consumer Member	4
6.	General SEC Objectives	5

1. Views of Large Supplier Members

The Large Supplier Party Category members unanimously voted to reject SECMP0021. Two Large Supplier voted to abstain from. The views of all members are detailed below.

Large Supplier Change Board Members' views		
Member	Approve	SEC Objective and Rationale
British Gas	No	The Member could not identify any benefits against the SEC Objectives. The Member expressed the view that there are existing mechanisms already available, such as invited additional attendees, was sufficient at this time.
Co-op Energy		The Member could not identify any benefits against the SEC Objectives. The Member expressed the view that there are existing mechanisms already available, such as invited additional attendees, was sufficient at this time.
EDF Energy		The Member did not identify any benefits against the SEC Objectives, and expressed a view that it could counter Objective (g) in relation to efficiency. The Member also commented that the problem the proposer was trying to address was unclear.
E.ON		The Member did not identify any benefits against the SEC Objectives, and expressed a view that it could counter Objective (g) in relation to efficiency. The Member commented that the matter could be revisited at a later point, via a Modification Proposal, if subsequent evidence came to light to support the change.
Ovo Energy		The Member did not identify any benefits against the SEC Objectives, No clear evidence had been provided to support the change, and they raised concerns that it could add regulatory risk to the operation of the Sub-Committees if approved.
ScottishPower		The Member did not identify any benefits against the SEC Objectives, and indicated that the Modification does not better facilitate SEC Objectives (a) and (e). The Member provided a view that the modification was too premature and that there was no reason to change at this time. While recognising the matter could be revisited at a later point.
SSE		The Member did not identify any benefits against the SEC Objectives. The Member provided a view that the process was sufficient at this time, with existing mechanism available to get additional wider involvement in Sub-Committee discussions when required. The matter could be revisited at a later time, if it became apparent there was a need for the change.

SECMP0021 – FMR
Attachment D

21st April 2017

Version 1.0

Page 2 of 5

This document has a
Classification of **White**

© SECCo 2017

Npower		While the Member recognised some benefits against SEC Objective (g) they identified there were no benefits against Objective (a) or (e), and question what value the increased membership would have to the running of the Security Sub-Committee
First Utility	Abstain ¹	Reasons for abstention were that the was insufficient knowledge on whether the Modification should be approved,
Utilita		While the Member supported increasing membership of Sub-Committees, they were unclear of the drivers of the Modification Proposal and chose to abstain.

2. Views of Small Supplier Members

The Small Supplier Party Category unanimously voted to reject SECMP0021. Their views are below.

Small Supplier Change Board Members' views		
Member	Approve	SEC Objective and Rationale
Flow Energy	No	While the Member recognised some benefits against SEC Objective (g) they identified there were no benefits against Objective (a) or (e). The Member expressed a view that the matter could be revisited at a later point.
Utiligroup		The member did not identify any benefits against the SEC Objectives and provided a view that there was no problem that needed to be fixed.

3. Views of Network Party Members

The Network Party Category Members voted by a majority to approve SECMP0021. Their views are below.

Network Change Board Members' views		
Member	Approve	SEC Objective and Rationale
National Grid	No	The Member did not identify any benefits against the SEC Objectives, The Member highlighted the existing mechanisms available and that the case for the change had not be made.
Northern Power	Yes	The Members believed that the Modification better facilitated SEC Objective (g) highlighting efficiency benefits arising from the greater industry involvement. Although one of the Members did provide a view that the change was too early
SSE Networks		

¹ Per Section D8.15, an abstention is counted as not vote cast.

4. Views of Other SEC Party Members

The Other SEC Party Category Members voted by a majority to reject SECMP0021. Their views are below.

Other SEC Party Change Board Members' views		
Member	Approve	SEC Objective and Rationale
Landis and Gyr	Yes	The Member believed that the Modification better facilitated the SEC Objectives ((a), (e) and (g) for the rationale previously provided by the Modification Proposer.
Chameleon Technology	No	The Member believe that the Modification may help with regarding to efficiency and therefore facilitates SEC Objective (g), however they believed the need for the change was to soon and a stronger case for the change was required.
Prescience		The Member did not identify any benefits against the SEC objectives. The member provided a view that the current membership structures of the SSC and TABASC were reasonably balanced at this time.

5. Views of Consumer Member

Consumer member did not attend.

SECMP0021 Change Board Vote Outcome

SEC Objectives
General SEC Objectives (SEC Section C1.1)
(a) the first General SEC Objective is to facilitate the efficient provision, installation, and operation, as well as interoperability, of Smart Metering Systems at Energy Consumers' premises within Great Britain;
(b) the second General SEC Objective is to enable the DCC to comply at all times with the General Objectives of the DCC (as defined in the DCC Licence), and to efficiently discharge the other obligations imposed upon it by the DCC Licence;
(c) the third General SEC Objective is to facilitate Energy Consumers' management of their use of electricity and gas through the provision to them of appropriate information by means of Smart Metering Systems;
(d) the fourth General SEC Objective is to facilitate effective competition between persons engaged in, or in Commercial Activities connected with, the Supply of Energy;
(e) the fifth General SEC Objective is to facilitate such innovation in the design and operation of Energy Networks (as defined in the DCC Licence) as will best contribute to the delivery of a secure and sustainable Supply of Energy;
(f) the sixth General SEC Objective is to ensure the protection of Data and the security of Data and Systems in the operation of this Code;
(g) the seventh General SEC Objective is to facilitate the efficient and transparent administration and implementation of this Code.
(h) the eighth General SEC Objective is to facilitate the establishment and operation of the Alt HAN Arrangements.